

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For quarterly period ended March 31, 2009

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

COMMISSION FILE NO. 1-6622

WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

MARYLAND
(State of incorporation)

53-0261100
(IRS Employer Identification Number)

6110 EXECUTIVE BOULEVARD, SUITE 800, ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND 20852
(Address of principal executive office) (Zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (301) 984-9400

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past ninety (90) days. YES NO

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). YES NO

As of May 6, 2009, 58,250,386 common shares were outstanding.

[Table of Contents](#)

WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST

INDEX

| | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| Part I: Financial Information | |
| Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) | |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets | 3 |
| Consolidated Statements of Income | 4 |
| Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity | 5 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | 6 |
| Notes to Financial Statements | 7 |
| Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 28 |
| Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk | 50 |
| Item 4. Controls and Procedures | 50 |
| Part II: Other Information | |
| Item 1. Legal Proceedings | 51 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors | 51 |
| Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 51 |
| Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities | 51 |
| Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders | 51 |
| Item 5. Other Information | 51 |
| Item 6. Exhibits | 51 |
| Signatures | 52 |

**PART I
FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

ITEM 1: FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The information furnished in the accompanying unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets, Statements of Income, Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity and Statements of Cash Flows reflects all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring items, which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods. The accompanying financial statements and notes thereto should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes for the three years ended December 31, 2008 included in WRIT's 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

[Table of Contents](#)

WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA)

| | (Unaudited) March 31, 2009 | December 31, 2008 ⁽¹⁾ |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Land | \$ 414,531 | \$ 414,531 |
| Income producing property | 1,870,493 | 1,866,221 |
| | 2,285,024 | 2,280,752 |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization | (420,279) | (400,487) |
| Net income producing property | 1,864,745 | 1,880,265 |
| Development in progress | 23,678 | 23,732 |
| Total real estate held for investment, net | 1,888,423 | 1,903,997 |
| Investment in real estate sold or held for sale, net | 16,401 | 16,408 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 9,685 | 11,874 |
| Restricted cash | 19,343 | 18,823 |
| Rents and other receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$7,489 and \$6,278, respectively | 47,411 | 45,244 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 105,615 | 112,599 |
| Other assets related to properties sold or held for sale | 295 | 462 |
| Total assets | <u>\$ 2,087,173</u> | <u>\$ 2,109,407</u> |
| Liabilities | | |
| Notes payable | \$ 845,364 | \$ 890,679 |
| Mortgage notes payable | 458,084 | 421,286 |
| Lines of credit | 48,000 | 67,000 |
| Accounts payable and other liabilities | 71,587 | 70,569 |
| Advance rents | 9,100 | 9,001 |
| Tenant security deposits | 10,199 | 10,237 |
| Other liabilities related to properties sold or held for sale | 207 | 210 |
| Total liabilities | <u>1,442,541</u> | <u>1,468,982</u> |
| Equity | | |
| Shareholders' equity | | |
| Shares of beneficial interest; \$0.01 par value; 100,000 shares authorized: 53,000 and 52,434 shares issued and outstanding, respectively | 531 | 526 |
| Additional paid in capital | 793,441 | 777,375 |
| Distributions in excess of net income | (151,172) | (138,936) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | (1,963) | (2,335) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 640,837 | 636,630 |
| Noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries | 3,795 | 3,795 |
| Total equity | <u>644,632</u> | <u>640,425</u> |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | <u>\$ 2,087,173</u> | <u>\$ 2,109,407</u> |

(1) As adjusted (see note 2 to the consolidated financial statements)

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA)
(UNAUDITED)

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Revenue | | |
| Real estate rental revenue | \$ 77,860 | \$ 69,346 |
| Expenses | | |
| Real estate expenses | 27,404 | 22,678 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 23,275 | 20,333 |
| General and administrative | <u>3,182</u> | <u>3,023</u> |
| | <u>53,861</u> | <u>46,034</u> |
| Real estate operating income | <u>23,999</u> | <u>23,312</u> |
| Other income (expense) | | |
| Interest expense | (19,681) | (18,900) |
| Other income | 320 | 238 |
| Gain (loss) on extinguishment of debt | <u>5,845</u> | <u>(8,449)</u> |
| | <u>(13,516)</u> | <u>(27,111)</u> |
| Income from continuing operations | 10,483 | (3,799) |
| Discontinued operations: | | |
| Income from operations of properties held for sale | <u>417</u> | <u>1,132</u> |
| Net income | 10,900 | (2,667) |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries | <u>(49)</u> | <u>(57)</u> |
| Net income attributable to the controlling interests | <u>\$ 10,851</u> | <u>\$ (2,724)</u> |
| Basic net income attributable to the controlling interests per share | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.20 | \$ (0.08) |
| Discontinued operations | <u>—</u> | <u>0.02</u> |
| Net income attributable to the controlling interests per share | <u>\$ 0.20</u> | <u>\$ (0.06)</u> |
| Diluted net income attributable to the controlling interests per share | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.20 | \$ (0.08) |
| Discontinued operations | <u>—</u> | <u>0.02</u> |
| Net income attributable to the controlling interests per share | <u>\$ 0.20</u> | <u>\$ (0.06)</u> |
| Weighted average shares outstanding – basic | <u>52,914</u> | <u>46,623</u> |
| Weighted average shares outstanding – diluted | 52,915 | 46,623 |
| Dividends declared and paid per share | <u>\$ 0.4325</u> | <u>\$ 0.4225</u> |

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
(IN THOUSANDS)
(UNAUDITED)

| | <u>Shares</u> | <u>Shares of Beneficial Interest at Par Value</u> | <u>Additional Paid in Capital</u> | <u>Distributions in Excess of Net Income Attributable to the Controlling Interest</u> | <u>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income</u> | <u>Total Shareholders' Equity</u> | <u>Noncontrolling Interests in Subsidiaries</u> | <u>Total Equity</u> |
|---|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------------|
| Balance, December 31, 2008 | 52,434 | \$ 526 | \$777,375 | \$ (138,936) | \$ (2,335) | \$ 636,630 | \$ 3,795 | \$640,425 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | |
| Net income attributable to the controlling interest | — | — | — | 10,851 | | 10,851 | — | 10,851 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | — | — | — | — | — | — | 49 | 49 |
| Change in fair value of interest rate hedge | — | — | — | | 372 | 372 | — | 372 |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | 11,223 | 49 | 11,272 |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | — | — | — | — | — | — | (49) | (49) |
| Dividends | — | — | — | (23,087) | — | (23,087) | — | (23,087) |
| Issuance of common shares, net of issuance costs | 558 | 5 | 14,627 | — | — | 14,632 | — | 14,632 |
| Share grants, net of share grant amortization and forfeitures | 8 | — | 1,439 | — | — | 1,439 | — | 1,439 |
| Balance, March 31, 2009 | <u>53,000</u> | <u>\$ 531</u> | <u>\$793,441</u> | <u>\$ (151,172)</u> | <u>\$ (1,963)</u> | <u>\$ 640,837</u> | <u>\$ 3,795</u> | <u>\$644,632</u> |

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(IN THOUSANDS)
(UNAUDITED)

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|------------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 10,900 | \$ (2,667) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 23,302 | 20,525 |
| Provision for losses on accounts receivable | 1,759 | 808 |
| Amortization of share grants, net | 577 | 506 |
| Amortization of debt premiums, discounts and related financing costs | 2,043 | 1,965 |
| Loss (gain) on extinguishment of debt, net | (5,845) | 8,449 |
| Changes in operating other assets | (999) | (5,602) |
| Changes in operating other liabilities | 2,256 | (1,684) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | <u>33,993</u> | <u>22,300</u> |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | |
| Real estate acquisitions, net | — | (9,319) |
| Capital improvements to real estate | (4,447) | (5,321) |
| Development in progress | (603) | (9,362) |
| Non-real estate capital improvements | (74) | (43) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | <u>(5,124)</u> | <u>(24,045)</u> |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | |
| Line of credit borrowings | 24,000 | — |
| Line of credit repayments | (43,000) | (18,000) |
| Dividends paid | (23,087) | (19,756) |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | (49) | (47) |
| Proceeds from equity offerings under dividend reinvestment program | — | 1,051 |
| Proceeds from mortgage notes payable | 37,500 | — |
| Principal payments – mortgage notes payable | (875) | (944) |
| Proceeds from debt offering | — | 100,000 |
| Financing costs | (237) | (458) |
| Net proceeds from equity offerings | 14,632 | — |
| Notes payable repayments, including penalties for early extinguishment | (39,942) | (68,815) |
| Net proceeds from exercise of share options | — | 84 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | <u>(31,058)</u> | <u>(6,885)</u> |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (2,189) | (8,630) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | <u>11,874</u> | <u>21,488</u> |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | <u>\$ 9,685</u> | <u>\$ 12,858</u> |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: | | |
| Cash paid for interest, net of amounts capitalized | <u>\$ 17,842</u> | <u>\$ 17,813</u> |

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

MARCH 31, 2009

(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 1: NATURE OF BUSINESS

Washington Real Estate Investment Trust (“we” or “WRIT”), a Maryland real estate investment trust, is a self-administered, self-managed equity real estate investment trust, successor to a trust organized in 1960. Our business consists of the ownership and development of income-producing real estate properties in the greater Washington metro region. We own a diversified portfolio of office buildings, medical office buildings, industrial/flex centers, multifamily buildings and retail centers.

Federal Income Taxes

We believe that we qualify as a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) under Sections 856-860 of the Internal Revenue Code and intend to continue to qualify as such. To maintain our status as a REIT, we are required to distribute 90% of our ordinary taxable income to our shareholders. When selling properties, we have the option of (a) reinvesting the sale price of properties sold, allowing for a deferral of income taxes on the sale, (b) paying out capital gains to the shareholders with no tax to WRIT or (c) treating the capital gains as having been distributed to the shareholders, paying the tax on the gain deemed distributed and allocating the tax paid as a credit to the shareholders. No properties were sold during the three month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008. Generally, no provisions for income taxes are necessary except for taxes on undistributed REIT taxable income and taxes on the income generated by our taxable REIT subsidiaries (“TRS”). A TRS is subject to corporate federal and state income tax on its taxable income at regular statutory rates. There were no income tax provisions or material deferred income tax items for our TRS for the three month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008.

NOTE 2: ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) have been condensed or omitted pursuant to those rules and regulations, although we believe that the disclosures made are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. In addition, in the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the periods presented have been included. These unaudited financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Within these notes to the financial statements, we refer to the three months ended March 31, 2009 and March 31, 2008 as the “2009 Quarter” and the “2008 Quarter”, respectively.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), *Business Combinations*, a revision of SFAS No. 141. This statement changes the accounting for acquisitions by specifically eliminating the step acquisition model, changing the recognition of contingent consideration from being recognized when it was probable to being recognized at the time of acquisition, disallowing the capitalization of pre-acquisition and transaction costs, and delaying when restructuring related to acquisitions can be recognized. We adopted the standard for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2009, and the new standard impacts the accounting for acquisitions we make after our adoption. Upon adoption of this pronouncement, we wrote off to general and administrative expense \$0.1 million of previously capitalized pre-acquisition costs. The impact of this pronouncement on our financial statements is dependent on the volume of our acquisition activity in 2009 and beyond. We currently expect the most significant impact of this statement to be the treatment of transaction costs, which are expensed as a period cost due to the adoption of this statement.

Also in December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements*, which clarifies the classification of noncontrolling interests in consolidated statements of financial

Table of Contents

position and the accounting for and reporting of transactions between the reporting entity and holders of such noncontrolling interests. Under the new standard noncontrolling interests are considered a component of total equity and should be reported as an element of consolidated equity. Net income encompasses the total income of all consolidated subsidiaries and there is a separate disclosure on the face of the income statement of the attribution of that income between the controlling and noncontrolling interests. Increases and decreases in the noncontrolling ownership interest amount are accounted for as equity transactions. We adopted the standard effective for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2009. The statement required us to change the presentation of minority interests on our financial statements. The statement required retrospective application, and has been applied to all periods presented in these consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008 the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133* (“FAS 161”). This statement requires entities to provide greater transparency about how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, and how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity’s financial position, results of operations, and cash flows. To meet these objectives, FAS 161 requires (a) qualitative disclosures about objectives for using derivatives by primary underlying risk exposure and by purpose or strategy, (b) information about the volume of derivative activity, (c) tabular disclosures about balance sheet location and gross fair value amounts of derivative instruments, income statement and other comprehensive income location and amounts of gains and losses on derivative instruments by type of contract, and (d) disclosures about credit risk-related contingent features in derivative agreements. We adopted FAS 161 effective for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2009. This statement required us to provide expanded disclosures of our interest rate hedge contract and to present certain disclosure in tabular format (See note 2 to the consolidated financial statements).

On May 9, 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position APB14-1 (“FSP 14-1”). This guidance clarifies the accounting for convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash (including partial cash settlement) upon conversion. This guidance significantly impacted the accounting of our convertible debt by requiring bifurcation of a component of the debt, classification of that component in stockholders’ equity, and then accretion of the resulting discount on the debt to result in interest expense equal to the issuer’s nonconvertible debt borrowing rate. We adopted the FSP 14-1 effective for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2009. FSP 14-1 required retrospective application, and has been applied to all periods presented in these consolidated financial statements. See notes 6 and 10 to the consolidated financial statements for disclosure of the impact of the adoption of this pronouncement.

On June 16, 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position EITF 03-6-1 (the “dividend rights FSP”). This guidance clarifies the accounting for unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents by proscribing that such awards be included in the computation of earnings per share (“EPS”) pursuant to the two-class method. We adopted the dividend rights FSP effective for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2009. The dividend rights FSP required retrospective application, and has been applied to all periods presented in these consolidated financial statements. The adoption of the dividend rights FSP did not have a material impact on our EPS calculation.

In September 2006, the FASB issued FASB Statement No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (“FAS 157”). FAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. On February 12, 2007, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-2, *Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157* (the “FSP”). The FSP amends FAS 157 to delay the effective date for all non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities, except for those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (i.e. at least annually). The FSP defers the effective date of FAS 157 to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years for items within the scope of the FSP. We do not have significant assets or liabilities recorded at fair value on a recurring basis, and therefore the adoption of this statement for non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities on January 1, 2009 did not have a material impact on our financial statements. However, starting in 2009 we will apply FAS 157 as a part of our fair value allocation to any properties acquired.

Revenue Recognition

Residential properties (our multifamily segment) are leased under operating leases with terms of generally one year or less, and commercial properties (our office, medical office, retail and industrial segments) are leased under operating leases with average terms of three to seven years. We recognize rental income and rental abatements from our residential and commercial leases when earned on a straight-line basis in accordance with SFAS No. 13, *Accounting for Leases*. Recognition of rental income commences when control of the facility has been given to the tenant. We record a provision for losses on accounts receivable equal to the estimated uncollectible amounts. This

Table of Contents

estimate is based on our historical experience and a review of the current status of our receivables. Percentage rents, which represent additional rents based on gross tenant sales, are recognized when tenants' sales exceed specified thresholds.

In accordance with SFAS No. 66, *Accounting for Sales of Real Estate*, sales are recognized at closing only when sufficient down payments have been obtained, possession and other attributes of ownership have been transferred to the buyer and we have no significant continuing involvement.

We recognize cost reimbursement income from pass-through expenses on an accrual basis over the periods in which the expenses were incurred. Pass-through expenses are comprised of real estate taxes, operating expenses and common area maintenance costs which are reimbursed by tenants in accordance with specific allowable costs per tenant lease agreements.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Accounts receivable primarily represents amounts accrued and unpaid from tenants in accordance with the terms of the respective leases, subject to our revenue recognition policy. Receivables are reviewed monthly and reserves are established when, in the opinion of management, collection of the receivable is doubtful. Reserves are established for tenants whose rent payment history or financial condition casts doubt upon the tenant's ability to perform under its lease obligation. When the collection of a receivable is deemed doubtful in the same quarter that the receivable was established, then the allowance for that receivable is recognized as an offset to real estate revenues. When a receivable that was initially established in a prior quarter is deemed doubtful, then the allowance is recognized as an operating expense. In addition to rents due currently, accounts receivable include amounts representing minimal rental income accrued on a straight-line basis to be paid by tenants over the remaining term of their respective leases.

Included in our accounts receivable balance as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, are notes receivable balances of \$8.9 million and \$8.6 million, respectively.

Noncontrolling Interests in Subsidiaries

We entered into an operating partnership agreement with a member of the entity that previously owned Northern Virginia Industrial Park in conjunction with the acquisition of this property in May 1998. This resulted in a noncontrolling ownership interest in this property based upon defined company ownership units at the date of purchase. The operating partnership agreement was amended and restated in 2002 resulting in a reduced noncontrolling ownership percentage interest. We account for this activity by applying the noncontrolling owner's percentage ownership interest to the net income of the property and reporting such amount in our net income attributable to noncontrolling interests.

In August 2007 we acquired a 0.8 acre parcel of land located at 4661 Kenmore Avenue, Alexandria, Virginia for future medical office development. The acquisition was funded by issuing operating partnership units in our operating partnership, which is a consolidated subsidiary of WRIT. This resulted in a noncontrolling ownership interest in this property based upon defined company operating partnership units at the date of purchase.

Net income from noncontrolling interests was \$48,900 and \$57,300 for the 2009 and 2008 Quarters, respectively. None of the income from noncontrolling interests is attributable to discontinued operations or accumulated other comprehensive income. Quarterly distributions are made to the noncontrolling owners equal to the quarterly dividend per share for each operating partnership unit.

Income (loss) attributable to the controlling interests from continuing operations was \$10.4 million and (\$3.9 million) for the 2009 and 2008 Quarters, respectively.

The operating partnership units could have a dilutive impact on our earnings per share calculation. They are not dilutive for the 2009 and 2008 Quarters, and are not included in our earnings per share calculations.

Deferred Financing Costs

External costs associated with the issuance or assumption of mortgages, notes payable and fees associated with the lines of credit are capitalized and amortized using the effective interest rate method or the straight-line method which approximates the effective interest rate method over the term of the related debt. As of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, deferred financing costs of \$20.6 million and \$21.3 million, respectively, net of accumulated

Table of Contents

amortization of \$9.5 million and \$9.0 million, were included in prepaid expenses and other assets on the balance sheets. The amortization is included in interest expense in the accompanying statements of income. The amortization of debt costs included in interest expense for properties classified as continuing operations totaled \$0.9 million for the 2009 Quarter and \$1.0 million for the 2008 Quarter.

Deferred Leasing Costs

Costs associated with the successful negotiation of leases, both external commissions and internal direct costs, are capitalized and amortized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the respective leases. If an applicable lease terminates prior to the expiration of its initial lease term, the carrying amount of the costs are written-off to amortization expense. As of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, deferred leasing costs of \$31.5 million and \$31.3 million, respectively, net of accumulated amortization of \$10.9 million and \$10.4 million, were included in prepaid expenses and other assets on the balance sheets. The amortization of deferred leasing costs included in amortization expense for properties classified as continuing operations totaled \$1.1 million for the 2009 Quarter and \$0.8 million for the 2008 Quarter.

Real Estate and Depreciation

We depreciate buildings on a straight-line basis over estimated useful lives ranging from 28 to 50 years. We capitalize all capital improvement expenditures associated with replacements, improvements or major repairs to real property that extend its useful life and depreciate them using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives ranging from 3 to 30 years. We also capitalize costs incurred in connection with our development projects, including capitalizing interest and other internal costs during periods in which qualifying expenditures have been made and activities necessary to get the development projects ready for their intended use are in progress. In addition, we capitalize tenant leasehold improvements when certain criteria are met, including when we supervise construction and will own the improvements. We depreciate all tenant improvements over the shorter of the useful life of the improvements or the term of the related tenant lease. Real estate depreciation expense from continuing operations was \$18.8 million for the 2009 Quarter and \$16.6 million for the 2008 Quarter. Maintenance and repair costs that do not extend an asset's life are charged to expense as incurred.

We capitalize interest costs incurred on borrowing obligations while qualifying assets are being readied for their intended use in accordance with SFAS No. 34 *Capitalization of Interest Cost*. Total interest expense capitalized to real estate assets related to development and major renovation activities was \$0.3 million for the 2009 Quarter and \$0.8 million for the 2008 Quarter. Interest capitalized is amortized over the useful life of the related underlying assets upon those assets being placed into service.

We recognize impairment losses on long-lived assets used in operations and held for sale, development assets or land held for future development, if indicators of impairment are present and the net undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than the assets' carrying amount and estimated undiscounted cash flows associated with future development expenditures. If such carrying amount is in excess of the estimated cash flows from the operation and disposal of the property, we would recognize an impairment loss equivalent to an amount required to adjust the carrying amount to the estimated fair value. The estimated fair value would be calculated in accordance with FAS 157. There were no property impairments recognized during the 2009 and 2008 Quarters.

Effective January 1, 2009, we record real estate acquisitions as business combinations in accordance with SFAS No. 141(R) *Business Combinations*. Acquired or assumed assets, including physical assets and in-place leases, and liabilities are recorded based on their fair values. Goodwill is recorded when the purchase price exceeds the fair value of the assets and liabilities acquired. The estimated fair values of the assets and liabilities are determined in accordance with FAS 157. The fair values of acquired buildings are determined on an "as-if-vacant" basis considering a variety of factors, including the physical condition and quality of the buildings, estimated rental and absorption rates, estimated future cash flows and valuation assumptions consistent with current market conditions. The "as-if-vacant" fair value is allocated to land, building and tenant improvements based on property tax assessments and other relevant information obtained in connection with the acquisition of the property.

The fair value of in-place leases consists of the following components – (a) the estimated cost to us to replace the leases, including foregone rents during the period of finding a new tenant and foregone recovery of tenant pass-throughs (referred to as "absorption cost"), (b) the estimated cost of tenant improvements, and other direct costs associated with obtaining a new tenant (referred to as "tenant origination cost"); (c) estimated leasing commissions associated with obtaining a new tenant (referred to as "leasing commissions"); (d) the above/at/below market cash flow of the leases, determined by comparing the projected cash flows of the leases in place to projected cash flows

Table of Contents

of comparable market-rate leases (referred to as “net lease intangible”); and (e) the value, if any, of customer relationships, determined based on our evaluation of the specific characteristics of each tenant’s lease and our overall relationship with the tenant (referred to as “customer relationship value”). We have attributed no value to customer relationship value as of March 31, 2009 or December 31, 2008.

The amounts used to calculate net lease intangible are discounted using an interest rate which reflects the risks associated with the leases acquired. Tenant origination costs are included in income producing property on our balance sheet and are amortized as depreciation expense on a straight-line basis over the remaining life of the underlying leases. Leasing commissions and absorption costs are classified as other assets and are amortized as amortization expense on a straight-line basis over the remaining life of the underlying leases. Net lease intangible assets are classified as other assets and are amortized on a straight-line basis as a decrease to real estate rental revenue over the remaining term of the underlying leases. Net lease intangible liabilities are classified as other liabilities and are amortized on a straight-line basis as an increase to real estate rental revenue over the remaining term of the underlying leases. Should a tenant terminate its lease, the unamortized portion of the tenant origination cost, leasing commissions, absorption costs and net lease intangible associated with that lease are written off.

Balances, net of accumulated depreciation or amortization, as appropriate, of the components of the fair value of in-place leases at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 are as follows (in millions):

| | March 31, 2009 | | | December 31, 2008 | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| | Gross Carrying Value | Accumulated Amortization | Net | Gross Carrying Value | Accumulated Amortization | Net |
| Tenant origination costs | \$ 40.9 | \$ 17.5 | \$ 23.4 | \$ 40.9 | \$ 16.1 | \$ 24.8 |
| Leasing commissions/absorption costs | \$ 50.6 | \$ 18.2 | \$ 32.4 | \$ 50.7 | \$ 16.3 | \$ 34.4 |
| Below-market ground lease asset | \$ 12.1 | \$ 0.2 | \$ 11.9 | \$ 12.1 | \$ 0.2 | \$ 11.9 |
| Net lease intangible assets | \$ 9.8 | \$ 5.7 | \$ 4.1 | \$ 9.8 | \$ 5.4 | \$ 4.4 |
| Net lease intangible liabilities | \$ 32.9 | \$ 11.6 | \$ 21.3 | \$ 33.0 | \$ 10.3 | \$ 22.7 |

Amortization of these components combined was \$2.5 million for the 2009 Quarter and \$2.3 million for the 2008 Quarter.

Discontinued Operations

We classify properties as held for sale when they meet the necessary criteria specified by FASB Statement No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, and Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 03-13, *Applying the Conditions in Paragraph 42 of FASB Statement No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, in Determining Whether to Report Discontinued Operations*. These include: (a) senior management commits to and actively embarks upon a plan to sell the assets, (b) the sale is expected to be completed within one year under terms usual and customary for such sales and (c) actions required to complete the plan indicate that it is unlikely that significant changes to the plan will be made or that the plan will be withdrawn. Depreciation on these properties is discontinued, but operating revenues, operating expenses and interest expense continue to be recognized until the date of sale.

Under SFAS No. 144, revenues and expenses of properties that are either sold or classified as held for sale are presented as discontinued operations for all periods presented in the consolidated statements of income. Interest on debt that can be identified as specifically attributed to these properties is included in discontinued operations. We do not have significant continuing involvement in the operations of any of our disposed properties.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include investments readily convertible to known amounts of cash with original maturities of 90 days or less.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 consisted of \$19.3 million and \$18.8 million, respectively, in funds escrowed for tenant security deposits, real estate tax, insurance and mortgage escrows and escrow deposits required by lenders on certain of our properties to be used for future building renovations or tenant improvements.

[Table of Contents](#)

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value

For assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, requires quantitative disclosures about the fair value measurements separately for each major category of assets and liabilities. The only assets or liabilities we had at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 that are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis are the assets held in the Supplemental Executive Retirement Program (“SERP”) and an interest rate hedge contract. Our valuations related to these items are based on assumptions derived from significant other observable inputs and accordingly fall into Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy. The fair values of these assets and liabilities at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 are as follows (in millions):

| | March 31, 2009 | | | | December 31, 2008 | | | |
|--------------|----------------|--|---|---|-------------------|--|---|---|
| | Fair Value | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Fair Value | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Assets: | | | | | | | | |
| SERP | \$ 0.7 | \$ — | \$ 0.7 | \$ — | \$ 0.6 | \$ — | \$ 0.6 | \$ — |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | | | |
| Derivative | \$ 2.0 | \$ — | \$ 2.0 | \$ — | \$ 2.3 | \$ — | \$ 2.3 | \$ — |

Derivative Instruments

In February 2008, we entered into an interest rate swap with a notional amount of \$100 million that qualifies as a cash flow hedge under SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* (see Note 6 for further details). We enter into interest rate swaps to manage our exposure to variable rate interest risk. We do not purchase derivatives for speculation. Our cash flow hedges are recorded at fair value. The effective portion of changes in fair value of cash flow hedges is recorded in other comprehensive income. The ineffective portion of changes in fair value of cash flow hedges is recorded in earnings in the period affected. We assess effectiveness of our cash flow hedges both at inception and on an ongoing basis. The hedge was deemed effective for the 2009 and 2008 Quarters.

The fair value and balance sheet location of the interest rate swap as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, is as follows (in millions):

| | March 31, 2009 Fair Value | December 31, 2008 Fair Value |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Accounts payable and other liabilities | \$ 2.0 | \$ 2.3 |

The interest rate swap has been effective since inception. The gain or loss on this effective swap is recognized in other comprehensive income, as follows (in millions):

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------|
| | 2009 Fair Value | 2008 Fair Value |
| Change in other comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 0.4 | \$ (1.2) |

Derivative instruments expose us to credit risk in the event of non-performance by the counterparty under the terms of the interest rate hedge agreement. We believe that we minimize our credit risk on these transactions by dealing with major, creditworthy financial institutions. As part of our on-going control procedures, we monitor the credit ratings of counterparties and our exposure to any single entity, thus minimizing our credit risk concentration.

Table of Contents

Stock Based Compensation

We previously maintained a Share Grant Plan and Incentive Stock Option Plans as described in Note 7, and pursuant to those plans we made restricted share grants and granted share options to officers, eligible employees and trustees in 2006 and prior. In March 2007, the WRIT Board of Trustees adopted, and in July 2007 WRIT shareholders approved, the Washington Real Estate Investment Trust 2007 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan ("2007 Plan"). This plan replaced the Share Grant Plan, which formally expired on December 15, 2007, as well as the Incentive Stock Option Plans. The 2007 Plan provides for the award to WRIT's trustees, officers and non-officer employees of restricted shares, restricted share units, options and other awards up to an aggregate of 2,000,000 shares over the ten year period in which the plan will be in effect. The shares and options granted pursuant to the Share Grant Plan and the Incentive Stock Option Plan were not affected by the adoption of the 2007 Plan.

Under the plans above, officer and non-officer employee share grants vesting over five years vest in annual installments commencing one year after the date of grant, and share grants vesting over three years vest 25% from date of grant in years one and two and 50% in year three. Officer performance share units, granted under an amendment to the Share Grant Plan, cliff vest at the end of a three year performance period. Trustee share grants are fully vested immediately upon date of share grant and are restricted from transferability for the period of the trustee's service.

If an award under the Share Grant Plan is forfeited or an award of options granted under the Incentive Stock Option Plan expires without being exercised, the shares covered by those awards will not be available for issuance under the 2007 Plan. If an award under the 2007 Plan of restricted shares or restricted share units is forfeited or an award of options or any other rights granted under the 2007 Plan expires without being exercised, the shares covered by any such award would again become available for issuance under new awards.

Compensation expense is recognized for share grants over the vesting period equal to the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant. Compensation cost for restricted performance share units is initially measured at fair value at the issuance date as payouts are probable, is remeasured at subsequent reporting dates until all award conditions are established and a grant date has occurred, and is amortized to expense over the service period. Compensation expense for the trustee grants, which fully vest immediately, is fully recognized upon issuance based upon the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant. The unvested portion of officer and non-officer employee share grants is recognized in compensation cost over the vesting period. Compensation cost for awards with market conditions is based on the grant date, as determined using a Monte Carlo simulation, and recognized over the service period, regardless of whether the market conditions are achieved and the awards ultimately vest.

Unvested shares are forfeited upon an employee's termination except for employees eligible for retirement whose unvested shares fully vest upon retirement. For shares granted to employees who are eligible for retirement or will become eligible for retirement during the vesting period, compensation cost is recognized through the date that the employee is no longer required to provide service to earn the award (e.g. the date the employee is eligible to retire).

As noted above, stock options were historically issued to officers, non-officer key employees and trustees under the Incentive Stock Option Plans. They were last issued to officers in 2002, to non-officer key employees in 2003 and to trustees in 2004. The options vested over a 2-year period in annual installments commencing one year after the date of grant, except for trustee options which vested immediately upon the date of grant. All stock options were issued prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) and were accounted for in accordance with APB No. 25, whereby if options are priced at fair market value or above at the date of grant and if other requirements are met then the plans are considered fixed and no compensation expense is recognized. Accordingly, we have recognized no compensation cost for stock options.

Earnings per Common Share

We calculate basic and diluted earnings per share in accordance with SFAS No. 128, *Earnings per Share*. "Basic earnings per share" excludes dilution and is computed by dividing net income attributable to the controlling interest by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. During 2009, we adopted FSP EITF 03-6-1, which prescribed that unvested share-based payment awards with non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. Our unvested restricted share awards have non-forfeitable rights to dividends. Pursuant to FSP EITF 03-6-1, our unvested restricted share awards are considered participating securities and are included in the computation of our basic and diluted earnings per share if the effect of applying the if-converted method is dilutive. Other sources of potentially dilutive common shares are our operating partnership units, performance share units and senior convertible notes. These were not dilutive for the 2009 and 2008 Quarters, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share (amounts in thousands; except per share data):

| | Quarter Ended March 31, 2009 | | |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| | Income (Numerator) | Shares (Denominator) | Per Share Amount |
| Basic earnings: | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 10,483 | 52,914 | \$ 0.20 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (49) | | — |
| Allocation of undistributed earnings to unvested restricted share awards and units | (127) | | — |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to the controlling interest | 10,307 | 52,914 | \$ 0.20 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 417 | 52,914 | — |
| Net income attributable to the controlling interest | 10,724 | 52,914 | 0.20 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | |
| Employee stock options | — | 1 | |
| Diluted earnings: | | | |
| Net income attributable to the controlling interest | \$ 10,724 | 52,915 | \$ 0.20 |

| | Quarter Ended March 31, 2008 | | |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| | Income (Numerator) | Shares (Denominator) | Per Share Amount |
| Basic earnings: | | | |
| Loss from continuing operations | \$ (3,799) | 46,623 | \$ (0.08) |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (57) | | — |
| Allocation of undistributed losses to unvested restricted share awards and units | 33 | | — |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to the controlling interest | (3,823) | 46,623 | \$ (0.08) |
| Income from discontinued operations | 1,132 | 46,623 | 0.02 |
| Net income attributable to the controlling interest | (2,691) | 46,623 | (0.06) |
| Effect of dilutive securities ¹ : | | | |
| Employee stock options | — | — | |
| Diluted earnings: | | | |
| Net income attributable to the controlling interest | \$ (2,691) | 46,623 | \$ (0.06) |

¹ The 2008 Quarter had a loss from continuing operations. Therefore, the diluted earnings per share did not assume the exercise of 95,000 potentially dilutive employee stock options, as the impact would have been antidilutive.

Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes

FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Income Taxes* (“FIN 48”), an interpretation of SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*, prescribes how we should recognize, measure and present our financial statements uncertain tax positions that have been taken or are expected to be taken in a tax return. Pursuant to FIN 48, we can recognize a tax benefit only if it is “more likely than not” that a particular tax position will be sustained upon examination or audit. To the extent that the “more likely than not” standard has been satisfied, the benefit associated with a tax position is measured as the largest amount that is greater than 50% likely of being recognized upon settlement.

Table of Contents

We are subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as income tax of the states of Maryland and Virginia, and the District of Columbia, but as a REIT, we generally are not subject to income tax on our net income distributed as dividends to our shareholders.

Tax returns filed for 2005 through 2008 tax years are subject to examination by taxing authorities. We classify interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions, if any, in our financial statements as a component of general and administrative expense.

Use of Estimates in the Financial Statements

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Reclassifications

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

We recorded other comprehensive loss of \$2.0 million and \$2.3 million as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, to account for the change in valuation of an interest rate swap agreement that qualifies as a cash flow hedge under SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*.

NOTE 3: REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS

Continuing Operations

Our real estate investment portfolio, at cost, consists of properties located in Maryland, Washington, D.C. and Virginia as follows (in thousands):

| | <u>March 31, 2009</u> | <u>December 31, 2008</u> |
|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Office | \$ 1,016,939 | \$ 1,014,771 |
| Medical office | 368,169 | 367,651 |
| Retail | 267,002 | 266,897 |
| Multifamily | 317,418 | 316,837 |
| Industrial/flex | 315,496 | 314,596 |
| | <u>\$ 2,285,024</u> | <u>\$ 2,280,752</u> |

The amounts above reflect properties classified as continuing operations, which means they are to be held and used in rental operations (income producing property).

We have several properties that were recently in development in our office and multifamily segments. In the office segment, Dulles Station, Phase I was placed into service during the third quarter of 2008. Dulles Station, Phase II remains in development. In the multifamily segment, Bennett Park was substantially completed in fourth quarter of 2007, and the Clayborne Apartments were substantially completed in the first quarter of 2008. In the medical office segment, we have land under development at 4661 Kenmore Avenue. The cost of our real estate portfolio in development is as follows (in thousands):

| | <u>March 31, 2009</u> | <u>December 31, 2008</u> |
|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Office | \$ 18,405 | \$ 18,453 |
| Medical office | 4,923 | 4,815 |
| Retail | 268 | 239 |
| Multifamily | 82 | 225 |
| Industrial/flex | — | — |
| | <u>\$ 23,678</u> | <u>\$ 23,732</u> |

Our results of operations are dependent on the overall economic health of our markets, tenants and the specific segments in which we own properties. These segments include general purpose office, medical office, retail,

Table of Contents

multifamily and industrial. All segments are affected by external economic factors, such as inflation, consumer confidence, unemployment rates, etc. as well as changing tenant and consumer requirements. Because the properties are located in the Washington metro region, we are subject to a concentration of credit risk related to these properties.

As of March 31, 2009, no single property or tenant accounted for more than 10% of total assets or total real estate rental revenue.

WRIT did not acquire any properties during the 2009 Quarter.

Discontinued Operations

We dispose of assets (sometimes using tax-deferred exchanges) that no longer meet our long-term strategy or return objectives and where market conditions for sale are favorable. The proceeds from the sales may be reinvested into other properties, used to fund development operations or to support other corporate needs, or distributed to our shareholders. Properties are considered held for sale when they meet the criteria specified by SFAS No. 144 (see Note 2 – Discontinued Operations). Depreciation on these properties is discontinued at that time, but operating revenues, other operating expenses and interest continue to be recognized until the date of sale. We have two properties classified as held for sale as follows (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Multifamily | \$ 17,244 | \$ 17,228 |
| Industrial | 4,934 | 4,934 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (5,777) | (5,754) |
| | <u>\$ 16,401</u> | <u>\$ 16,408</u> |

We sold two properties and classified one property as held for sale in 2008. The two sold properties, Sullyfield Center and The Earhart Building, were classified as held for sale in November 2007, and sold on June 6, 2008. They were sold for a contract sales price of \$41.1 million, and we recognized a gain on sale of \$15.3 million, in accordance with SFAS No. 66, *Accounting for Sales of Real Estate*.

In September 2008, Avondale, a multifamily property, met the criteria specified in SFAS No. 144 necessary for classification as held for sale and met the criteria specified in EITF 03-13 requiring reporting as discontinued operations. In March, 2009, Charleston Business Center, an industrial property, met the criteria specified in SFAS No. 144 necessary for classification as held for sale and met the criteria specified in EITF 03-13 requiring reporting as discontinued operations. Senior management has committed to, and actively embarked upon, plans to sell the assets, and the sales are expected to be completed within one year under terms usual and customary for such sales, with no indication that the plans will be significantly altered or abandoned. Depreciation on these properties has been discontinued as of the date they were classified as held for sale, but operating revenues and other operating expenses continue to be recognized until the date of sale. Under SFAS No. 144, revenues and expenses of properties that are classified as held for sale or sold are treated as discontinued operations for all periods presented in the statements of income.

Operating results of the properties classified as discontinued operations are summarized as follows (in thousands):

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Revenues | \$ 928 | \$ 2,030 |
| Property expenses | (484) | (705) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (27) | (193) |
| | <u>\$ 417</u> | <u>\$ 1,132</u> |

Table of Contents

Operating income by each property classified as discontinued operations is summarized below (in thousands):

| Property | Segment | Quarters Ended March 31, | |
|----------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| | | 2009 | 2008 |
| Sullyfield Center | Industrial | \$ — | \$ 596 |
| The Earhart Building | Industrial | — | 251 |
| Avondale | Multifamily | 312 | 111 |
| Charleston | Industrial | 105 | 174 |
| | | <u>\$ 417</u> | <u>\$ 1,132</u> |

NOTE 4: MORTGAGE NOTES PAYABLE

| | (in thousands) | |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|
| | March 31, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
| On September 27, 1999, we executed a \$50.0 million mortgage note payable secured by Munson Hill Towers, Country Club Towers, Roosevelt Towers, Park Adams Apartments and the Ashby of McLean. The mortgage bears interest at 7.14% per annum and interest only is payable monthly until October 1, 2009, at which time all unpaid principal and interest are payable in full. | \$ 50,000 | \$ 50,000 |
| On October 9, 2003, we assumed a \$36.1 million mortgage note payable and a \$13.7 million mortgage note payable as partial consideration for our acquisition of Prosperity Medical Center. The mortgages bear interest at 5.36% per annum and 5.34% per annum respectively. Principal and interest are payable monthly until May 1, 2013, at which time all unpaid principal and interest are payable in full. | 45,654 | 45,811 |
| On August 12, 2004, we assumed a \$10.1 million mortgage note payable with an estimated fair value* of \$11.2 million, as partial consideration for our acquisition of Shady Grove Medical Village II. The mortgage bears interest at 6.98% per annum. Principal and interest are payable monthly until December 1, 2011, at which time all unpaid principal and interest are payable in full. | 9,914 | 9,992 |
| On December 22, 2004, we assumed a \$15.6 million mortgage note payable with an estimated fair value* of \$17.8 million, and a \$3.9 million mortgage note payable with an estimated fair value* of \$4.2 million as partial consideration for our acquisition of Dulles Business Park. The mortgages bear interest at 7.09% per annum and 5.94% per annum, respectively. Principal and interest are payable monthly until August 10, 2012, at which time all unpaid principal and interest are payable in full. | 19,451 | 19,610 |
| On March 23, 2005, we assumed a \$24.3 million mortgage note payable with an estimated fair value* of \$25.0 million as partial consideration for our acquisition of Frederick Crossing. The mortgage bears interest at 5.95% per annum. Principal and interest are payable monthly until January 1, 2013, at which time all unpaid principal and interest are payable in full. | 23,175 | 23,304 |
| On April 13, 2006, we assumed a \$5.7 million mortgage note payable as partial consideration for the acquisition of 9707 Medical Center Drive. The mortgage bears interest at 5.32% per annum. Principal and interest are payable monthly until July 1, 2028, at which time all unpaid principal and interest are payable in full. | 5,240 | 5,278 |
| On June 22, 2006, we assumed a \$4.9 million mortgage note payable as partial consideration for the acquisition of Plumtree Medical Center. The mortgage bears interest at 5.68% per annum. Principal and interest are payable monthly until March 11, 2013, at which time all unpaid principal and interest are payable in full. | 4,663 | 4,684 |
| On July 12, 2006, we assumed an \$8.8 million mortgage note payable as partial consideration for the acquisition of 15005 Shady Grove Road. The mortgage bears interest at 5.73% per annum. Principal and interest are payable monthly until March 11, 2013, at which time all unpaid principal and interest are payable in full. | 8,428 | 8,468 |
| On August 25, 2006, we assumed a \$34.2 million mortgage note payable as partial consideration for the acquisition of 20-50 West Gude Drive. The mortgage bears interest at 5.86% per annum. Principal and interest are payable monthly until February 11, 2013, at which time all unpaid principal and interest are payable in full. | 32,651 | 32,815 |

Table of Contents

| | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| On August 25, 2006, we assumed a \$23.1 million mortgage note payable as partial consideration for the acquisition of The Crescent and The Ridges. The mortgage bears interest at 5.82%** per annum. Principal and interest are payable monthly until August 11, 2033** at which time all unpaid principal and interest are payable in full. The note may be repaid without penalty on August 11, 2010. | 22,177 | 22,277 |
| On June 1, 2007, we assumed a \$21.2 million mortgage note payable as partial consideration for the acquisition of Woodholme Medical Office Building. The mortgage bears interest at 5.29% per annum. Principal and interest are payable monthly until November 1, 2015, at which time all unpaid principal and interest are payable in full. | 20,820 | 20,897 |
| On June 1, 2007, we assumed a \$3.1 million mortgage note payable and a \$3.0 million mortgage note payable as partial consideration for our acquisition of the Ashburn Farm Office Park. The mortgages bear interest at 5.56% per annum and 5.69% per annum, respectively. Principal and interest are payable monthly until May 31, 2025 and July 31, 2023, respectively, at which time all unpaid principal and interest are payable in full. | 5,238 | 5,291 |
| On May 29, 2008, we executed three mortgage notes payable totaling \$81.0 million secured by 3801 Connecticut Avenue, Walker House and Bethesda Hill. The mortgages bear interest at 5.71% per annum and interest only is payable monthly until May 31, 2016, at which time all unpaid principal and interest are payable in full. | 81,029 | 81,029 |
| On December 2, 2008, we assumed a \$101.9 million mortgage note payable with an estimated fair value* of \$91.7 million as partial consideration for the acquisition of 2445 M Street. The mortgage bears interest at 5.62% per annum. Interest is payable monthly until January 6, 2017, at which time all unpaid principal and interest are payable in full. | 92,144 | 91,830 |
| On February 17, 2009, we executed a \$37.5 million mortgage note payable secured by Kenmore Apartments. The mortgage bears interest at 5.37% per annum. Principal and interest are payable monthly until March 1, 2019, at which time all unpaid principal and interest are payable in full. | 37,500 | — |
| | <u>\$ 458,084</u> | <u>\$ 421,286</u> |

* The fair value of the mortgage notes payable was estimated upon acquisition by WRIT based upon market information and data, such as dealer quotes for instruments with similar terms and maturities. There is no notation when the fair value at the inception of the mortgage is the same as the carrying value.

** If the loan is not repaid on August 11, 2010, from and after August 11, 2010, the interest rate adjusts to one of the following rates: (i) the greater of (A) 10.82% or (B) the Treasury Rate (determined as of August 11, 2010, and defined as the yield calculated using linear interpolation approximating the period from August 11, 2010 to August 11, 2033 on the basis of Federal Reserve Stat. Release H.15-Selected Interest Rates under the heading U.S. Governmental Security/Treasury Constant Maturities) plus 5%; or (ii) if the Note is an asset of an entity formed for purposes of securitization and pursuant thereto securities rated by a rating agency have been issued, then the rate will equal: the greater of (A) 7.82% or (B) the Treasury Rate plus 2%. Due to the high probability that the mortgage will be paid off on August 11, 2010, that date is reflected in the future maturities schedule.

Total carrying amount of the above mortgaged properties was \$727.3 million and \$666.0 million at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Scheduled principal payments for the remaining nine months in 2009 and the remaining years subsequent to December 31, 2009 are as follows (in thousands):

| | <u>Principal Payments</u> |
|------------------------|---------------------------|
| 2009 | \$ 53,207 |
| 2010 | 25,933 |
| 2011 | 13,349 |
| 2012 | 21,362 |
| 2013 | 106,630 |
| Thereafter | 245,535 |
| | <u>466,016</u> |
| Net discounts/premiums | (7,932) |
| Total | <u>\$ 458,084</u> |

NOTE 5: UNSECURED LINES OF CREDIT PAYABLE

As of March 31, 2009, we maintained a \$75.0 million unsecured line of credit maturing in June 2011 ("Credit Facility No. 1") and a \$262.0 million unsecured line of credit maturing in November 2010 ("Credit Facility No. 2").

Table of Contents

Credit Facility No. 1

We had no balance outstanding as of March 31, 2009 related to Credit Facility No. 1, and \$5.4 million in letters of credit issued, with \$69.6 million unused and available for subsequent acquisitions or capital improvements. We had no balance outstanding under this facility at December 31, 2008. There were no new advances under this facility during the 2009 Quarter.

Borrowings under the facility bear interest at our option of LIBOR plus a spread based on the credit rating on our publicly issued debt or the higher of SunTrust Bank's prime rate and the Federal Funds Rate in effect plus 0.5%. All outstanding advances are due and payable upon maturity in June, 2011. Interest only payments are due and payable generally on a monthly basis. We had no interest due during the 2009 Quarter. For the 2008 Quarter, we recognized interest expense (excluding facility fees) of \$0.8 million, representing an average interest rate of 5.21%.

In addition, we pay a facility fee based on the credit rating of our publicly issued debt which currently equals 0.15% per annum of the \$75.0 million committed capacity, without regard to usage. Rates and fees may be adjusted up or down based on changes in our senior unsecured credit ratings. For the 2009 and 2008 Quarters, we incurred facility fees of \$28,125 and \$17,900, respectively.

Credit Facility No. 2

We had \$48.0 million outstanding as of March 31, 2009 related to Credit Facility No. 2, and \$0.9 million in letters of credit issued, with \$213.1 million unused and available for subsequent acquisitions or capital improvements. \$67.0 million was outstanding under this facility at December 31, 2008. During the 2009 Quarter, we borrowed \$24.0 million to fund a repurchase of convertible debt. We repaid \$43.0 million of gross borrowing during the 2009 Quarter with proceeds from the January 2009 equity issuance and the Kenmore mortgage note.

Borrowings under the facility bear interest at our option of LIBOR plus a spread based on the credit rating on our publicly issued debt or the higher of Wells Fargo Bank's prime rate and the Federal Funds Rate in effect plus 0.5%. All outstanding advances are due and payable upon maturity in November, 2010. Interest only payments are due and payable generally on a monthly basis. For the 2009 and 2008 Quarters, we recognized interest expense (excluding facility fees) of \$0.1 million and \$1.6 million, representing an average interest rate of 0.92% and 5.16%, respectively.

In addition, we pay a facility fee based on the credit rating of our publicly issued debt which currently equals 0.15% per annum of the \$262.0 million committed capacity, without regard to usage. Rates and fees may be adjusted up or down based on changes in our senior unsecured credit ratings. For the 2009 and 2008 Quarters, we incurred facility fees of \$97,800 and \$93,100, respectively.

Credit Facility No. 1 and No. 2 contain certain financial and non-financial covenants, all of which we have met as of March 31, 2009.

NOTE 6: NOTES PAYABLE

On February 20, 1998, we issued \$50.0 million of 7.25% unsecured notes due February 25, 2028 at 98.653% to yield approximately 7.36%.

On March 17, 2003, we issued \$60.0 million of 5.125% unsecured notes due March 2013. The notes bear an effective interest rate of 5.23%. Our total proceeds, net of underwriting fees, were \$59.1 million. We used portions of the proceeds of these notes to repay advances on our lines of credit and to fund general corporate purposes.

On December 11, 2003, we issued \$100.0 million of 5.25% unsecured notes due January 2014. The notes bear an effective interest rate of 5.34%. Our total proceeds, net of underwriting fees, were \$99.3 million. We used portions of the proceeds of these notes to repay advances on our lines of credit.

On April 26, 2005, we issued \$50.0 million of 5.05% unsecured notes due May 1, 2012 and \$50.0 million of 5.35% unsecured notes due May 1, 2015, at effective yields of 5.064% and 5.359% respectively. The net proceeds from the sale of the notes of \$99.1 million were used to repay borrowings under our lines of credit totaling \$90.5 million and the remainder was used for general corporate purposes.

Table of Contents

On October 6, 2005, we issued an additional \$100.0 million of the series of 5.35% unsecured notes due May 1, 2015, at an effective yield of 5.49%. \$93.5 million of the \$98.1 million net proceeds from the sale of these notes was used to repay borrowings under our lines of credit and the remainder was used to fund general corporate purposes.

On June 6, 2006, we issued \$100.0 million of 5.95% unsecured notes due June 15, 2011 at 99.951% of par, resulting in an effective interest rate of 5.96%. Our total proceeds, net of underwriting fees, were \$99.4 million. We used the proceeds of these notes to repay advances on one of our lines of credit.

On July 26, 2006, we issued an additional \$50.0 million of the series of 5.95% unsecured notes due June 15, 2011 at 100.127% of par, resulting in an effective yield of 5.92%. Our total proceeds, net of underwriting fees, were \$50.2 million. We used the proceeds of these notes to repay borrowings under our lines of credit and to fund general corporate purposes.

On September 11, 2006, we issued \$100.0 million of 3.875% convertible notes due September 15, 2026. On September 22, 2006, we issued an additional \$10.0 million of the 3.875% convertible notes due September 15, 2026, upon the exercise by the underwriter of an over-allotment option granted by WRIT. The notes were issued at 99.5% of par. The adoption of FSP 14-1 (see Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements) resulted in a discount on the 3.875% convertible notes that is amortized as an increase to interest expense over the expected life of the debt. This increases the effective interest rate on the 3.875% convertible notes to 5.875%. Our total proceeds, net of underwriting fees, were \$106.7 million. We used the proceeds of these notes to repay borrowings under our lines of credit and to fund general corporate purposes.

On January 22, 2007, we issued an additional \$135.0 million of the 3.875% convertible notes due September 15, 2026. On January 30, 2007, we issued an additional \$15.0 million of the 3.875% convertible notes due September 15, 2026, upon the exercise by the underwriter of an over-allotment option granted by WRIT. The notes were issued at 100.5% of par. The adoption of FSP 14-1 (see Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements) resulted in a discount on the 3.875% convertible notes that is amortized as an increase to interest expense over the expected life of the debt. This increases the effective interest rate on the 3.875% convertible notes to 5.875%. Our total proceeds, net of underwriting fees, were \$146.0 million. We used the proceeds of these notes to fund the acquisition of 270 Technology Park and a portion of the acquisition of Monument II, to repay borrowings under our lines of credit and to fund general corporate purposes.

The convertible notes are convertible into our common shares at the option of the holder, under specific circumstances on or after July 15, 2026, at an initial exchange rate of 20.090 common shares per \$1,000 principal amount of notes. This is equivalent to an initial conversion price of \$49.78 per common share, which represents a 22% premium over the \$40.80 closing price of our common shares at the time the September 2006 transaction was priced and a 21% premium over the \$41.17 closing price of our common shares at the time the January 2007 transaction was priced. Holders may convert their notes into our common shares prior to the maturity date based on the applicable conversion rate during any fiscal quarter if the closing price of our common shares for at least 20 trading days in the 30 consecutive trading day period ending on the last trading day of the immediate preceding fiscal quarter is more than 130% of the conversion price per common share on the last day of such preceding fiscal quarter. The initial conversion rate is subject to adjustment in certain circumstances including an adjustment to the rate if the quarterly dividend rate to common shareholders is in excess of \$0.4125 per share. In addition, the conversion rate will be adjusted if we make distributions of cash or other consideration by us or any of our subsidiaries in respect of a tender offer or exchange offer for our common shares, to the extent such cash and the value of any such other consideration per common share validly tendered or exchanged exceeds the closing price of our common shares as defined in the note offering. Upon an exchange of notes, we will settle any amounts up to the principal amount of the notes in cash and the remaining exchange value, if any, will be settled, at our option, in cash, common shares or a combination thereof. The convertible notes could have a dilutive impact on our earnings per share calculation in the future. However, these convertible notes are not dilutive for the 2009 and 2008 Quarters, and are not included in our earnings per share calculations.

On or after September 20, 2011, we may redeem the convertible notes at a redemption price equal to the principal amount of the convertible notes plus any accrued and unpaid interest, if any, up to, but excluding, the purchase date. In addition, on September 15, 2011, September 15, 2016 and September 15, 2021 or following the occurrence of certain change in control transactions prior to September 15, 2011, holders of these notes may require us to repurchase the convertible notes for an amount equal to the principal amount of the convertible notes plus any accrued and unpaid interest thereon.

During the fourth quarter of 2008, we repurchased \$16.0 million of the convertible notes at 75.0% of par, resulting in a gain on extinguishment of debt of \$2.9 million. During the 2009 Quarter, we repurchased \$48.6 million of the

Table of Contents

convertible notes at 80.0% to 84.0% of par, resulting in a gain on extinguishment of debt of \$5.8 million. As of March 31, 2009, the amount outstanding on the convertible notes is \$195.4 million. Subsequent to the end of the 2009 Quarter, we repurchased \$28.9 million of the convertible notes at 84.0% to 91.875% of par (see Note 12 to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion).

On January 1, 2009 we adopted the convertible debt FSP (see Notes 2 and 10 to the consolidated financial statements), which resulted in the reclassification of \$21.0 million of our convertible notes' original carrying amount into shareholders' equity. The amortization of the resulting discount on the convertible notes is recognized as interest expense. The effective interest rate on the liability component of the convertible notes was 5.875% for the 2009 and 2008 Quarters. The interest expense recognized relating to the contractual interest coupon was \$2.2 million and \$2.5 million for the 2009 and 2008 Quarters, respectively. The interest expense recognized relating to the amortization of the discount was \$1.0 million for each of the 2009 and 2008 Quarters. The carrying amount of the equity component as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 is \$21.0 million. The net carrying amount of the principal is as follows (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Principal, gross | \$ 195,424 | \$ 244,000 |
| Unamortized discount | (8,827) | (12,047) |
| Principal, net | <u>\$ 186,597</u> | <u>\$ 231,953</u> |

The remaining discount is being amortized through September, 2011, on the effective interest method.

On February 21, 2008, we entered into a \$100 million unsecured term loan (the "2010 Term Loan") with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association. The 2010 Term Loan has a maturity date of February 19, 2010 and bears interest at our option of LIBOR plus 1.50% or Wells Fargo's prime rate. To hedge our exposure to interest rate fluctuations on the \$100 million note, we entered into an interest rate swap on a notional amount of \$100 million, which had the effect of fixing the LIBOR portion of the interest rate on the term loan at 2.95% through February 2010. The current interest rate, taking into account the swap, is 4.45% (2.95% plus 150 basis points). The interest rate swap agreement will settle contemporaneously with the maturity of the loan. This swap qualifies as a cash flow hedge as discussed in Note 2.

The following is a summary of our unsecured note borrowings (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| 4.45% term loan due 2010 | \$100,000 | \$ 100,000 |
| 5.95% notes due 2011 | 150,000 | 150,000 |
| 5.05% notes due 2012 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| 5.125% notes due 2013 | 60,000 | 60,000 |
| 5.25% notes due 2014 | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| 5.35% notes due 2015 | 150,000 | 150,000 |
| 3.875% notes due 2026 | 195,424 | 244,000 |
| 7.25% notes due 2028 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| Discount on notes issued | (10,088) | (13,352) |
| Premium on notes issued | 28 | 31 |
| Total | <u>\$845,364</u> | <u>\$ 890,679</u> |

The required principal payments excluding the effects of note discounts or premium for the remaining nine months in 2009 and the remaining years subsequent to December 31, 2009 are as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|------------|------------------|
| 2009 | \$ — |
| 2010 | 100,000 |
| 2011 | 150,000 |
| 2012 | 50,000 |
| 2013 | 60,000 |
| Thereafter | 495,424 |
| | <u>\$855,424</u> |

Interest on these notes is payable semi-annually. These notes contain certain financial and non-financial covenants, all of which we have met as of March 31, 2009.

The covenants under our line of credit agreements require us to insure our properties against loss or damage in amounts customarily maintained by similar businesses or as they may be required by applicable law. The covenants

Table of Contents

for the notes require us to keep all of our insurable properties insured against loss or damage at least equal to their then full insurable value. We have an insurance policy which has no terrorism exclusion, except for nuclear acts of terrorism and non-certified chemical and biological acts of terrorism. Our financial condition and results of operations are subject to the risks associated with acts of terrorism and the potential for uninsured losses as the result of any such acts. Effective November 26, 2002, under this existing coverage, any losses caused by certified acts of terrorism would be partially reimbursed by the United States under a formula established by federal law. Under this formula the United States pays 85% of covered terrorism losses exceeding the statutorily established deductible paid by the insurance provider, and insurers pay 10% until aggregate insured losses from all insurers reach \$100 billion in a calendar year. If the aggregate amount of insured losses under this program exceeds \$100 billion during the applicable period for all insured and insurers combined, then each insurance provider will not be liable for payment of any amount which exceeds the aggregate amount of \$100 billion. On December 26, 2007, the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007 was signed into law and extends the program through December 31, 2014.

NOTE 7: SHARE OPTIONS AND GRANTS

Options

In March 2007, the WRIT Board of Trustees adopted, and in July 2007 WRIT shareholders approved, the Washington Real Estate Investment Trust 2007 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan ("2007 Plan"). This plan replaced the Share Grant Plan, which expired on December 15, 2007, as well as the 2001 Stock Option Plan and Stock Option Plan for Trustees. The shares and options granted pursuant to the above plans are not affected by the adoption of the 2007 Plan. However, if an award under the Share Grant Plan is forfeited or an award of options granted under the Option Plans expires without being exercised, the shares covered by those awards will not be available for issuance under the 2007 Plan.

The 2007 Plan provides for the award to WRIT's trustees, officers and non-officer employees of restricted shares, restricted share units, options and other awards up to an aggregate of 2,000,000 shares over the ten year period in which the plan will be in effect. If an award under the 2007 Plan of restricted shares or restricted share units is forfeited or an award of options or any other rights granted under the 2007 Plan expires without being exercised, the shares covered by any such award would again become available for issuance under new awards.

The previous Option Plans provided for the grant of qualified and non-qualified options. Options granted under the plans were granted with exercise prices equal to the market price on the date of grant, vested 50% after year one and 50% after year two and expire ten years following the date of grant. Options granted to trustees were granted with exercise prices equal to the market price on the date of grant and were fully vested on the grant date. As discussed in Note 2, option awards were accounted for in accordance with APB No. 25, and we have recognized no compensation cost for stock options. The last option awards to officers were in 2002, to non-officer key employees in 2003 and to trustees in 2004. The following chart details the previously issued and currently outstanding and exercisable stock options:

| | 2009 | |
|--------------------------|---------|------------------|
| | Shares | Wtd Avg Ex Price |
| Outstanding at January 1 | 317,000 | \$ 25.31 |
| Granted | — | — |
| Exercised | — | — |
| Expired/Forfeited | — | — |
| Outstanding at March 31 | 317,000 | \$ 25.31 |
| Exercisable at March 31 | 317,000 | \$ 25.31 |

The 317,000 options outstanding at March 31, 2009, all of which are exercisable, have exercise prices between \$14.47 and \$33.09, with a weighted-average exercise price of \$25.31 and a weighted average remaining contractual life of 3.2 years. The aggregate intrinsic value of outstanding exercisable shares at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was zero and \$0.9 million, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised in the 2008 Quarter was \$10,000. There were no options forfeited in the 2009 and 2008 Quarters.

Share Grants, Performance Share Units and Restricted Share Units

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, we previously maintained a Share Grant Plan for officers, trustees and other members of management. In 2004 and 2005, awards were granted to officers and other

Table of Contents

members of management in the form of restricted shares, with a value equal to various percentages of a participant's salary based upon WRIT's performance compared to an appropriate benchmark target, with minimum and maximum thresholds. The awards were valued based on market value at the date of grant. Shares vest ratably over a five year period from the date of grant.

Beginning in 2005, annual long-term incentive compensation for trustees was changed from options of 2,000 shares plus 400 restricted shares to \$30,000 in restricted shares. In May 2007, the value of the restricted shares awarded to trustees was increased to \$55,000. These shares vest immediately and are restricted from sale for the period of the trustee's service.

The 2007 Plan provides for the granting of restricted share units to officers and other members of management and performance share units to officers, based upon various percentages of their salaries and their positions with WRIT. For officers, one-third of the award is in the form of restricted share units that vest 20% per year based upon continued employment and two-thirds of the award is in the form of performance share units. For other members of management, 100% of the award is in the form of restricted share units that vest 20% per year from date of grant based on performance targets.

With respect to the performance share units, performance targets will be set annually based on appropriate benchmarks with minimum and maximum payout thresholds. The grants and each award are based on cumulative performance over three years, and performance share units cliff vest at the end of the three year period. These performance share units are based on three-year cumulative performance targets set at the beginning of each year, as such, the grant date does not occur until all such targets are set and thus the significant terms of the award are known. Because payouts are probable, WRIT estimates the compensation expense at each reporting period based on the current fair market value of the probable award, until the vesting occurs and as progress towards meeting target is known, and recognizes this expense ratably over the three-year period. \$25,000 was recognized during the 2008 Quarter related to the 2006 performance share units. The estimated expense related to the 2007 performance share units at the end of the three-year period is approximately \$571,000 of which (\$407,000) was recognized during the 2009 Quarter and \$2,000 was recognized during the 2008 Quarter. The estimated expense related to the 2008 performance share units at the end of the three-year period is approximately \$847,000 of which \$136,000 and \$164,000 were recognized during the 2009 and 2008 Quarters, respectively. The estimated expense related to the 2009 performance share units at the end of the three-year period is approximately \$2.1 million of which \$176,000 was recognized during the 2009 Quarter. Participants who terminate prior to the end of the three-year performance period forfeit their entire portion of the award.

Under the 2007 Plan described in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, elected deferrals of short term incentive awards by officers are converted into restricted share units which vest immediately on the grant date and WRIT will match 25% of the deferred short term incentive in restricted share units, which vest at the end of three years. Dividends on these restricted share units are paid in the form of restricted share units valued based on the market value of WRIT's stock on the date dividends are paid. WRIT granted 876 and 4,783 restricted share units to officers in 2008 and 2007, respectively, pursuant to elective short term incentive deferrals. During 2008, WRIT granted 263 restricted share units on dividends. In the first quarter 2009, WRIT granted 150 restricted share units on dividends.

There were 24,344 restricted share units awarded to the prior CEO in the second quarter of 2007, and 38,228 restricted share units awarded to officers and other members of management in December 2007. There were 47,865 restricted share units awarded to officers and other members of management in 2008. Performance and restricted share units awarded were initially valued at a weighted average price per share based upon the market value on the date of grant, as follows:

| | Shares | Wtd Avg Grant Price |
|------|--------|---------------------|
| 2007 | 67,355 | \$ 32.85 |
| 2008 | 49,004 | \$ 26.16 |
| 2009 | 150 | \$ 17.30 |

There were no shares granted during 2008. During 2007 we issued 15,962 share grants to the prior CEO at a price of \$37.59 per share based on the market value on the date of grant. They vested and were expensed immediately upon date of grant.

Table of Contents

In August 2008 as the result of an award modification per the terms of the departing Chief Financial Officer's termination agreement, 7,820 share grants issued between 2004 and 2006 were remeasured under FAS 123(R) based on the market value of WRIT's stock at the time of the award modification. The modification accelerated the vesting of the 7,820 share grants to be fully vested by February 28, 2009. In addition, 4,560 restricted share units awarded to the departing Chief Financial Officer in 2006 and 2007 were revalued based on the market value of WRIT's stock at the time of the award modification. The modification also accelerated the vesting of the 4,560 restricted share units to be fully vested by February 28, 2009.

The following are tables of activity for the period ended March 31, 2009 related to our share grants and restricted share unit grants.

Share Grants

| | 2009 | |
|-----------------------|----------|---------------------|
| | Shares | Wtd Avg Grant Price |
| Vested at January 1 | 312,006 | \$ 29.21 |
| Unvested at January 1 | 34,849 | \$ 35.04 |
| Granted | — | — |
| Vested during year | (14,237) | \$ 33.73 |
| Expired/Forfeited | — | — |
| Unvested at March 31 | 20,612 | \$ 35.92 |
| Vested at March 31 | 326,243 | \$ 29.41 |

The total fair value of shares vested during the quarter ending March 31, 2009 was \$0.3 million. As of March 31, 2009, the total compensation cost related to non-vested share awards not yet recognized was \$0.2 million, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 13 months on a straight-line basis.

Restricted Share Units

| | 2009 | |
|-----------------------|---------|---------------------|
| | Shares | Wtd Avg Grant Price |
| Vested at January 1 | 28,914 | \$ 35.00 |
| Unvested at January 1 | 106,562 | \$ 30.63 |
| Granted | 150 | \$ 17.30 |
| Vested during year | (8,622) | \$ 32.81 |
| Expired/Forfeited | (211) | \$ 28.87 |
| Unvested at March 31 | 97,879 | \$ 30.42 |
| Vested at March 31 | 37,536 | \$ 34.51 |

The value of unvested restricted share units at March 31, 2009 was \$2.7 million, which is expected to be recognized as compensation cost over a weighted average period of 44 months on a straight-line basis.

Total compensation expense recognized for share based awards, including share grants and restricted share units, in the 2009 and 2008 Quarters was \$0.7 million and \$0.5 million, respectively. The expense related to the quarter ending March 31, 2009 includes \$0.1 million related to the accelerated vesting of the departed CFO's share grant awards as required by SFAS No. 123R – Share Based Payments.

NOTE 8: OTHER BENEFIT PLANS

We have a Retirement Savings Plan (the "401K Plan"), which permits all eligible employees to defer a portion of their compensation in accordance with the Internal Revenue Code. Under the 401K Plan, WRIT may make discretionary contributions on behalf of eligible employees. For the quarters ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, WRIT made contributions to the 401K plan of \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively.

We have adopted a non-qualified deferred compensation plan for the officers and members of the Board of Trustees. The plan allows for a deferral of a percentage of annual cash compensation and trustee fees. The plan is unfunded and payments are to be made out of our general assets. The deferred compensation liability was \$0.9 million and \$0.8 million at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents

We established a Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (“SERP”) effective July 1, 2002 for the benefit of our prior CEO. Under this plan, upon the prior CEO’s termination of employment from WRIT for any reason other than death, permanent and total disability, or discharge for cause, he is entitled to receive an annual benefit equal to his accrued benefit times his vested interest. We accounted for this plan in accordance with SFAS No. 87, *Employers’ Accounting for Pensions*, whereby we accrued benefit cost in an amount that resulted in an accrued balance at the end of the prior CEO’s employment in June 2007 which was not less than the present value of the estimated benefit payments to be made. At March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the accrued benefit liability was \$1.7 million and \$1.9 million, respectively. For the quarters ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, we recognized current service cost of \$31,000 and \$33,000, respectively. On December 31, 2006, WRIT adopted the recognition and disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 158, *Employers’ Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans—an Amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(r)* (“FAS 158”), required WRIT to recognize the funded status (i.e., the difference between the fair value of plan assets and the projected benefit obligations) of its pension plan in the December 31, 2006 statement of financial position, with a corresponding adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax. Because the prior CEO’s SERP is unfunded, the adoption of FAS 158 did not have an effect on WRIT’s consolidated financial condition at December 31, 2006, or for any prior period presented and it will not affect WRIT’s operating results in future periods. WRIT currently has an investment in corporate owned life insurance intended to meet the SERP benefit liability since the prior CEO’s retirement. Benefit payments to the prior CEO began in 2008.

In November 2005, the Board of Trustees approved the establishment of a SERP for the benefit of the officers, other than the prior CEO. This is a defined contribution plan under which, upon a participant’s termination of employment from WRIT for any reason other than death, discharge for cause or total and permanent disability, the participant will be entitled to receive a benefit equal to the participant’s accrued benefit times the participant’s vested interest, offset by the corresponding change in the liability. We account for this plan in accordance with EITF 97-14, *Accounting for Deferred Compensation Arrangements Where Amounts Earned are Held in a Rabbi Trust and Invested* and SFAS No. 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*, whereby the investments are reported at fair value, and unrealized holding gains and losses are included in earnings. For the quarters ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, we recognized current service cost of \$62,000 and \$85,000, respectively.

NOTE 9: SEGMENT INFORMATION

We have five reportable segments: office, medical office, retail, multifamily and industrial/flex properties. Office buildings provide office space for various types of businesses and professions. Medical office buildings provide offices and facilities for a variety of medical services. Retail centers are typically neighborhood grocery store or drug store anchored retail centers. Multifamily properties provide rental housing for individuals and families. Industrial/flex centers are used for flex-office, warehousing, services and distribution type facilities.

Real estate rental revenue as a percentage of the total for each of the five reportable operating segments is as follows:

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | |
|----------------|--------------------------|------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Office | 44% | 43% |
| Medical office | 15% | 15% |
| Retail | 14% | 15% |
| Multifamily | 14% | 12% |
| Industrial | 13% | 15% |

The percentage of total income producing real estate assets, at cost, for each of the five reportable operating segments is as follows:

| | March 31, | December 31, |
|----------------|-----------|--------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Office | 44% | 44% |
| Medical office | 16% | 16% |
| Retail | 12% | 12% |
| Multifamily | 14% | 14% |
| Industrial | 14% | 14% |

[Table of Contents](#)

NOTE 10: CHANGE IN METHOD OF ACCOUNTING FOR CONVERTIBLE DEBT

On May 9, 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position APB 14-1 (“FSP 14-1”). This guidance clarifies the accounting for convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash (including partial cash settlement) upon conversion. We adopted the FSP 14-1 effective for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2009. FSP 14-1 required retrospective application, and has been applied to all periods presented in these consolidated financial statements. This guidance significantly impacted the accounting of our convertible debt by requiring bifurcation of a component of the debt, classification of that component in stockholders’ equity, and then accretion of the resulting discount on the debt to result in interest expense equal to our nonconvertible debt borrowing rate. The adoption of FSP 14-1 impacted operating results with higher interest expense and lower gain on extinguishment of the convertible debt.

The following financial statement line items were affected by this accounting change for the periods indicated (in thousands, except for per share amounts):

Consolidated statements of income:

| | For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2009 | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | As computed prior to FSP 14-1 | As reported under FSP 14-1 | Effect of Change |
| Interest expense | \$ 18,488 | \$ 19,681 | \$(1,193) |
| Gain from extinguishment of debt | \$ 7,351 | \$ 5,845 | \$(1,506) |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 13,182 | \$ 10,483 | \$(2,699) |
| Net income attributable to the controlling interests | \$ 13,550 | \$ 10,851 | \$(2,699) |
| Earnings per share, diluted | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.20 | \$ (0.05) |

| | For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2008 | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | As computed prior to FSP 14-1 | As reported under FSP 14-1 | Effect of Change |
| Interest expense | \$ 17,664 | \$ 18,900 | \$(1,236) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ (2,563) | \$ (3,799) | \$(1,236) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to the controlling interest | \$ (1,488) | \$ (2,724) | \$(1,236) |
| Earnings per share, diluted | \$ (0.03) | \$ (0.06) | \$ (0.03) |

Consolidated balance sheets:

| | As of March 31, 2009 | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|
| | As computed prior to FSP 14-1 | As reported under FSP 14-1 | Effect of Change |
| Income producing property | \$1,869,883 | \$1,870,493 | \$ 610 |
| Development in progress | \$ 23,558 | \$ 23,678 | \$ 120 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | \$ 107,768 | \$ 105,615 | \$ (2,153) |
| Total assets | \$2,088,596 | \$2,087,173 | \$ (1,423) |
| Notes payable | \$ 854,328 | \$ 845,364 | \$ (8,964) |
| Total liabilities | \$1,451,505 | \$1,442,541 | \$ (8,964) |
| Additional paid in capital | \$ 772,407 | \$ 793,441 | \$ 21,034 |
| Distributions in excess of net income | \$ (137,679) | \$ (151,172) | \$(13,493) |
| Total equity | \$ 637,091 | \$ 644,632 | \$ 7,541 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$2,088,596 | \$2,087,173 | \$ (1,423) |

Table of Contents

| | As of December 31, 2008 | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| | As computed prior to FSP 14-1 | As reported under FSP 14-1 | Effect of Change |
| Income producing property | \$ 1,865,611 | \$ 1,866,221 | \$ 610 |
| Development in progress | \$ 23,630 | \$ 23,732 | \$ 102 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | \$ 115,295 | \$ 112,599 | \$ (2,696) |
| Total assets | \$ 2,111,391 | \$ 2,109,407 | \$ (1,984) |
| Notes payable | \$ 902,900 | \$ 890,679 | \$ (12,221) |
| Total liabilities | \$ 1,481,203 | \$ 1,468,982 | \$ (12,221) |
| Additional paid in capital | \$ 756,341 | \$ 777,375 | \$ 21,034 |
| Distributions in excess of net income | \$ (128,139) | \$ (138,936) | \$ (10,797) |
| Total equity | \$ 630,188 | \$ 640,425 | \$ 10,237 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 2,111,391 | \$ 2,109,407 | \$ (1,984) |

NOTE 11: SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

During the third quarter of 2008, we entered into a sales agency financing agreement with BNY Mellon Capital Markets, LLC relating to the issuance and sale of up to \$150.0 million of our common shares from time to time over a period of no more than 36 months. Sales of our common shares are made at market prices prevailing at the time of sale. Net proceeds for the sale of common shares under this program are used for the repayment of borrowings under our lines of credit, acquisitions, and general corporate purposes. During the 2009 Quarter, we issued 558,268 million common shares under this program, at a weighted average price of \$26.47 raising \$14.6 million in net proceeds. During 2008, we issued 1.1 million common shares at a weighted average price of \$36.15 under this program, raising \$40.7 million in net proceeds.

We have a dividend reinvestment program, whereby shareholders may use their dividends and optional cash payments to purchase common shares. The common shares sold under this program may either be common shares issued by us or common shares purchased in the open market. Net proceeds under this program are used for general corporate purposes. No common shares were issued under this program during the 2009 and 2008 Quarters. During 2008, 125,348 common shares were issued at a weighted average price of \$32.75 per share, raising \$4.1 million in net proceeds.

NOTE 12: SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On May 5, 2009 we closed on an underwritten public offering of 5.25 million common shares at a price of \$21.40 per share. The underwriters have a 30-day option to purchase an additional 500,000 common shares. We estimate that the net proceeds from this offering, before offering expenses, will be approximately \$107.5 million, or approximately \$117.9 million if the underwriters' over-allotment option is exercised in full. The proceeds of this offering will be used to repay borrowings outstanding under WRIT's unsecured line of credit and for general corporate purposes.

Subsequent to quarter end, we executed repurchases totaling \$28.9 million of face value of our 3.875% convertible notes at 84.0% to 91.875% of par.

On May 7, 2009, we entered into an agreement to modify our \$100 million unsecured term loan with Wells Fargo to extend the maturity date from February 19, 2010 to November 1, 2011. This agreement also increased the interest rate on the term loan from LIBOR plus 150 basis points to LIBOR plus 275 basis points. We previously had an interest rate swap agreement in place through the term loan's original maturity date of February 19, 2010. This interest rate swap effectively fixes the interest rate on the modified term loan at 5.70%. On May 6, 2009, we also entered into a forward interest rate swap agreement that will effectively fix the modified term loan's interest rate at 4.85% for the period from February 20, 2010 through the maturity date of November 1, 2011. The agreement to modify the term loan also allows us to prepay the loan for a fee equal to 1.00% of the prepayment on or before November 30, 2010, and for a fee equal to 0.25% of the prepayment after November 30, 2010 but on or before May 31, 2011. After May 31, 2011 there is no prepayment fee under the terms of the modification agreement. We paid a loan fee equal to 0.5% of the \$100 million principal amount in connection with the modification agreement.

[Table of Contents](#)

ITEM 2: MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements and the notes thereto appearing in Item 1 of this report and the more detailed information contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 2, 2009.

We refer to the three months ended March 31, 2009 and March 31, 2008 as the "2009 Quarter" and the "2008 Quarter", respectively.

Forward-Looking Statements

We claim the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 for the foregoing statements. The following important factors, in addition to those discussed in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K under the caption "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in that report, could affect our future results and could cause those results to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements: (a) the effect of the current credit and financial market conditions; (b) the availability and cost of capital; (c) fluctuations in interest rates; (d) the economic health of our tenants; (e) the timing and pricing of lease transactions; (f) the economic health of the greater Washington metro region, or other markets we may enter; (g) the effects of changes in Federal government spending; (h) the supply of competing properties; (i) consumer confidence; (j) unemployment rates; (k) consumer tastes and preferences; (l) our future capital requirements; (m) inflation; (n) compliance with applicable laws, including those concerning the environment and access by persons with disabilities; (o) governmental or regulatory actions and initiatives; (p) changes in general economic and business conditions; (q) terrorist attacks or actions; (r) acts of war; (s) weather conditions; (t) the effects of changes in capital available to the technology and biotechnology sectors of the economy; (u) the impact of newly adopted accounting principles; and (v) other factors discussed under the caption "Risk Factors." We undertake no obligation to update our forward-looking statements or risk factors to reflect new information, future events, or otherwise.

Overview

Our revenues are derived primarily from the ownership and operation of income-producing properties in the greater Washington metro region. As of March 31, 2009, we owned a diversified portfolio of 93 properties, consisting of 28 office properties, 22 industrial/flex properties, 17 medical office properties, 14 retail centers, and 12 multifamily properties, encompassing in the aggregate 13.4 million net rentable square feet, and land for development. We have a fundamental strategy of regional focus, diversification by property type and conservative capital management.

When evaluating our financial condition and operating performance, we focus on the following financial and non-financial indicators, discussed in further detail herein:

- Net operating income ("NOI") by segment, calculated as real estate rental revenue less real estate expenses excluding depreciation and amortization and general and administrative expenses. NOI is a non-GAAP supplemental measure to net income.
- Funds from operations ("FFO"), calculated as set forth below under the caption "Funds from Operations." FFO is a non-GAAP supplemental measure to net income.
- Economic occupancy ("occupancy"), calculated as actual real estate rental revenue recognized for the period indicated as a percentage of gross potential real estate rental revenue for that period. Percentage rents and expense reimbursements are not considered in computing economic occupancy percentages.
- Leased percentage, calculated as the percentage of available physical net rentable area leased for our commercial segments and percentage of apartments leased for our multifamily segment.
- Rental rates.
- Leasing activity, including new leases, renewals and expirations.

Table of Contents

Our results of operations in the 2009 Quarter were primarily impacted by acquisitions, development properties placed into service, dispositions and the performance of our core portfolio. We completed acquisitions and dispositions totaling \$257.4 million and \$41.1 million, respectively, during 2008. We placed into service from development at the end of 2007 and during 2008 two multifamily properties and one office property. The performance of our core portfolio, consisting of properties owned for the entirety of the 2009 and 2008 Quarters, declined compared to the 2008 Quarter, primarily due to lower occupancy and higher bad debt expense.

We summarize below our significant transactions during the 2009 and 2008 Quarters:

2009 Quarter

- The issuance of 0.6 million common shares at a weighted average price of \$26.47 under our sales agency financing agreement, raising \$14.6 million in net proceeds.
- The execution of one mortgage note of approximately \$37.5 million at a fixed rate of 5.37%, secured by the Kenmore Apartments.
- The repurchase of \$48.6 million of our 3.875% convertible notes at 80% to 84% of par, resulting in a gain on extinguishment of debt of \$5.8 million.
- The execution of new leases for 235,000 square feet of commercial space, with an average rental rate increase of 11.1%.

2008 Quarter

- The acquisition of one industrial/flex property for \$11.2 million, adding approximately 150,000 square feet which was 78% leased at the end of the 2008 Quarter.
- An agreement to acquire one medical office property for \$19.5 million.
- The repayment of the \$60 million outstanding principal balance under 6.74% 10-year Mandatory Par Put Remarketed Securities (“MOPPRS”) notes. The total aggregate consideration paid to repurchase the notes was \$70.8 million, which amount included the \$8.7 million remarketing option value paid to the remarketing dealer and accrued interest paid to the holders. The loss on extinguishment of debt was \$8.4 million, net of unamortized loan premium costs, upon settlement of these securities. WRIT refinanced the repurchase of these notes, and refinanced a portion of line outstandings, by issuing a \$100 million 2-year term loan, which was swapped for a fixed rate of 4.45%.
- The exercise of the right to increase the capacity of the unsecured revolving credit facility with a syndicate of banks led by Wells Fargo Bank, National Association from \$200 million to \$262 million.
- The investment of \$9.3 million in our development projects and the substantial completion of the Clayborne Apartments.
- The execution of new leases for 270,000 square feet of commercial space, with an average rental rate increase of 16.4%.

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. On an on-going basis, we evaluate these estimates, including those related to estimated useful lives of real estate assets, estimated fair value of acquired leases, cost reimbursement income, bad debts, contingencies and litigation. We base the estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. There can be no assurance that actual results will not differ from those estimates.

We believe the following critical accounting policies reflect the significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. Our significant accounting policies are also described in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements contained in this Form 10-Q.

Revenue Recognition

Residential properties (our multifamily segment) are leased under operating leases with terms of generally one year or less, and commercial properties (our office, medical office, retail and industrial segments) are leased under operating leases with average terms of three to seven years. We recognize rental income and rental abatements from our residential and commercial leases when earned on a straight-line basis in accordance with SFAS No. 13, *Accounting for Leases*. Recognition of rental income commences when control of the facility has been given to the tenant. We record a provision for losses on accounts receivable equal to the estimated uncollectible amounts. This estimate is based on our historical experience and a review of the current status of our receivables. Percentage rents, which represent additional rents based on gross tenant sales, are recognized when tenants' sales exceed specified thresholds.

In accordance with SFAS No. 66, *Accounting for Sales of Real Estate*, sales are recognized at closing only when sufficient down payments have been obtained, possession and other attributes of ownership have been transferred to the buyer and we have no significant continuing involvement.

We recognize cost reimbursement income from pass-through expenses on an accrual basis over the periods in which the expenses were incurred. Pass-through expenses are comprised of real estate taxes, operating expenses and common area maintenance costs which are reimbursed by tenants in accordance with specific allowable costs per tenant lease agreements.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Accounts receivable primarily represents amounts accrued and unpaid from tenants in accordance with the terms of the respective leases, subject to our revenue recognition policy. Receivables are reviewed monthly and reserves are established when, in the opinion of management, collection of the receivable is doubtful. Reserves are established for tenants whose rent payment history or financial condition casts doubt upon the tenant's ability to perform under its lease obligation. When the collection of a receivable is deemed doubtful in the same quarter that the receivable was established, then the allowance for that receivable is recognized as an offset to real estate revenues. When a receivable that was initially established in a prior quarter is deemed doubtful, then the allowance is recognized as an operating expense. In addition to rents due currently, accounts receivable include amounts representing minimal rental income accrued on a straight-line basis to be paid by tenants over the remaining term of their respective leases.

Included in our accounts receivable balance as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, are notes receivable balances of \$8.9 million and \$8.6 million, respectively.

Real Estate and Depreciation

We depreciate buildings on a straight-line basis over estimated useful lives ranging from 28 to 50 years. We capitalize all capital improvement expenditures associated with replacements, improvements or major repairs to real property that extend its useful life and depreciate them using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives ranging from three to 30 years. We also capitalize costs incurred in connection with our development projects,

Table of Contents

including capitalizing interest and other internal costs during periods in which qualifying expenditures have been made and activities necessary to get the development projects ready for their intended use are in progress. In addition, we capitalize tenant leasehold improvements when certain criteria are met, including when we supervise construction and will own the improvements. We depreciate all tenant improvements over the shorter of the useful life of the improvements or the term of the related tenant lease. Real estate depreciation expense from continuing operations was \$18.8 million for the 2009 Quarter and \$16.6 million for the 2008 Quarter. Maintenance and repair costs that do not extend an asset's life are charged to expense as incurred.

We capitalize interest costs incurred on borrowing obligations while qualifying assets are being readied for their intended use in accordance with SFAS No. 34 *Capitalization of Interest Cost*. Total interest expense capitalized to real estate assets related to development and major renovation activities was \$0.3 million for the 2009 Quarter and \$0.8 million for the 2008 Quarter. Interest capitalized is amortized over the useful life of the related underlying assets upon those assets being placed into service.

We recognize impairment losses on long-lived assets used in operations and held for sale, development assets or land held for future development, if indicators of impairment are present and the net undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than the assets' carrying amount and estimated undiscounted cash flows associated with future development expenditures. If such carrying amount is in excess of the estimated cash flows from the operation and disposal of the property, we would recognize an impairment loss equivalent to an amount required to adjust the carrying amount to the estimated fair value. The estimated fair value would be calculated in accordance with SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. There were no property impairments recognized during the 2009 and 2008 Quarters.

Effective January 1, 2009, we record real estate acquisitions as business combinations in accordance with SFAS No. 141(R) *Business Combinations*. Acquired or assumed assets, including physical assets and in-place leases, and liabilities are recorded based on their fair values. Goodwill is recorded when the purchase price exceeds the fair value of the assets and liabilities acquired. The estimated fair values of the assets and liabilities are determined in accordance with FAS 157. The fair values of acquired buildings are determined on an "as-if-vacant" basis considering a variety of factors, including the physical condition and quality of the buildings, estimated rental and absorption rates, estimated future cash flows and valuation assumptions consistent with current market conditions. The "as-if-vacant" fair value is allocated to land, building and tenant improvements based on property tax assessments and other relevant information obtained in connection with the acquisition of the property.

The fair value of in-place leases consists of the following components – (a) the estimated cost to us to replace the leases, including foregone rents during the period of finding a new tenant and foregone recovery of tenant pass-throughs (referred to as "absorption cost"), (b) the estimated cost of tenant improvements, and other direct costs associated with obtaining a new tenant (referred to as "tenant origination cost"); (c) estimated leasing commissions associated with obtaining a new tenant (referred to as "leasing commissions"); (d) the above/at/below market cash flow of the leases, determined by comparing the projected cash flows of the leases in place to projected cash flows of comparable market-rate leases (referred to as "net lease intangible"); and (e) the value, if any, of customer relationships, determined based on our evaluation of the specific characteristics of each tenant's lease and our overall relationship with the tenant (referred to as "customer relationship value"). We have attributed no value to customer relationship value as of March 31, 2009 or December 31, 2008.

The amounts used to calculate net lease intangible are discounted using an interest rate which reflects the risks associated with the leases acquired. Tenant origination costs are included in income producing property on our balance sheet and are amortized as depreciation expense on a straight-line basis over the remaining life of the underlying leases. Leasing commissions and absorption costs are classified as other assets and are amortized as amortization expense on a straight-line basis over the remaining life of the underlying leases. Net lease intangible assets are classified as other assets and are amortized on a straight-line basis as a decrease to real estate rental revenue over the remaining term of the underlying leases. Net lease intangible liabilities are classified as other liabilities and are amortized on a straight-line basis as an increase to real estate rental revenue over the remaining term of the underlying leases. Should a tenant terminate its lease, the unamortized portion of the tenant origination cost, leasing commissions, absorption costs and net lease intangible associated with that lease are written off.

Federal Income Taxes

We believe that we qualify as a real estate investment trust ("REIT") under Sections 856-860 of the Internal Revenue Code and intend to continue to qualify as such. To maintain our status as a REIT, we are required to distribute 90% of our ordinary taxable income to our shareholders. When selling properties, we have the option of (a) reinvesting the sale price of properties sold, allowing for a deferral of income taxes on the sale, (b) paying out

Table of Contents

capital gains to the shareholders with no tax to WRIT or (c) treating the capital gains as having been distributed to the shareholders, paying the tax on the gain deemed distributed and allocating the tax paid as a credit to the shareholders. No properties were sold during the 2009 and 2008 Quarters. Generally, no provisions for income taxes are necessary except for taxes on undistributed REIT taxable income and taxes on the income generated by our taxable REIT subsidiaries ("TRS"). A TRS is subject to corporate federal and state income tax on its taxable income at regular statutory rates. There were no income tax provisions or material deferred income tax items for our TRS for the 2009 and 2008 Quarters.

Results of Operations

The discussion that follows is based on our consolidated results of operations for the 2009 and 2008 Quarters. The ability to compare one period to another may be significantly affected by acquisitions completed and dispositions made during those periods.

For purposes of evaluating comparative operating performance, we categorize our properties as "core", "non-core" or discontinued operations. A "core" property is one that was owned for the entirety of the periods being evaluated and is included in continuing operations. A "non-core" property is one that was acquired or placed into service during either of the periods being evaluated and is included in continuing operations. Results for properties sold or held for sale during any of the periods evaluated are classified as discontinued operations. No properties were acquired during the 2009 Quarter and four properties were acquired during or subsequent to the 2008 Quarter. Also classified as non-core are three development properties placed into service in 2008 and 2007. One property was classified as held for sale during the 2009 Quarter, and two properties were sold and one property was classified as held for sale during 2008. These held for sale and sold properties are classified as discontinued operations for the 2009 and 2008 Quarters.

To provide more insight into our operating results, our discussion is divided into two main sections: (a) the consolidated results of operations section, in which we provide an overview analysis of results on a consolidated basis, and (b) the net operating income ("NOI") section, in which we provide a detailed analysis of core versus non-core NOI results by segment.

Consolidated Results of Operations

Real Estate Rental Revenue

Real estate rental revenue for properties classified as continuing operations is summarized as follows (all data in thousands except percentage amounts):

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | Change | |
| | | | \$ | % |
| Minimum base rent | \$66,288 | \$59,612 | \$6,676 | 11.2% |
| Recoveries from tenants | 10,511 | 7,801 | 2,710 | 34.7% |
| Provisions for doubtful accounts | (1,457) | (756) | (701) | 92.7% |
| Lease termination fees | 188 | 334 | (146) | (43.7)% |
| Parking and other tenant charges | 2,330 | 2,355 | (25) | (1.1)% |
| | <u>\$77,860</u> | <u>\$69,346</u> | <u>\$8,514</u> | <u>12.3%</u> |

Real estate rental revenue is comprised of (a) minimum base rent, which includes rental revenues recognized on a straight-line basis, (b) revenue from the recovery of operating expenses from our tenants, (c) provisions for doubtful accounts, which includes provisions for straight-line receivables, (d) revenue from the collection of lease termination fees and (e) parking and other tenant charges such as percentage rents.

Minimum Base Rent: Minimum base rent increased by \$6.7 million in the 2009 Quarter as compared to the 2008 Quarter due primarily to non-core properties (\$6.6 million), combined with a \$0.1 million increase in minimum base rent from core properties due to higher rental rates in all segments, offset by higher vacancy in all segments.

Recoveries from Tenants: Recoveries from tenants increased by \$2.7 million in the 2009 Quarter as compared to the 2008 Quarter due primarily to non-core properties (\$1.7 million), combined with a \$1.0 million increase in recoveries from tenants from core properties primarily due to higher utilities reimbursements (\$0.4 million), real estate tax reimbursements (\$0.3 million) and common area maintenance reimbursements (\$0.3 million).

Table of Contents

Provisions for Doubtful Accounts: Provisions for doubtful accounts increased by \$0.7 million in the 2009 Quarter as compared to the 2008 Quarter due to higher provisions in the office (\$0.7 million) segment.

Lease Termination Fees: Lease termination fees were relatively flat, decreasing by \$0.1 million in the 2009 Quarter as compared to the 2008 Quarter.

Parking and Other Tenant Charges: Parking and other tenant charges were flat in the 2009 Quarter as compared to the 2008 Quarter.

A summary of economic occupancy for properties classified as continuing operations by segment follows:

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | | |
|----------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | Change |
| Office | 92.6% | 95.5% | (2.9)% |
| Medical Office | 96.5% | 97.9% | (1.4)% |
| Retail | 95.2% | 95.3% | (0.1)% |
| Multifamily | 87.3% | 78.7% | 8.6% |
| Industrial | 90.6% | 94.5% | (3.9)% |
| Total | <u>92.4%</u> | <u>93.1%</u> | <u>(0.7)%</u> |

Economic occupancy represents actual real estate rental revenue recognized for the period indicated as a percentage of gross potential real estate rental revenue for that period. Percentage rents and expense reimbursements are not considered in computing economic occupancy percentages.

Our overall economic occupancy decreased to 92.4% in the 2009 Quarter from 93.1% in the 2008 Quarter, driven by higher vacancy in the office, medical office and industrial segments. Economic occupancy in the multifamily segment increased to 87.3% in the 2009 Quarter from 78.7% in the 2008 Quarter, driven by the lease-up during 2008 of our development properties, Bennett Park and Clayborne Apartments.

A detailed discussion of occupancy by sector can be found in the NOI section.

Real Estate Expenses

Real estate expenses are summarized as follows (all data in thousands except percentage amounts):

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | Change | |
| | | | \$ | % |
| Property operating expenses | \$19,280 | \$16,168 | \$3,112 | 19.2% |
| Real estate taxes | 8,124 | 6,510 | 1,614 | 24.8% |
| | <u>\$27,404</u> | <u>\$22,678</u> | <u>\$4,726</u> | <u>20.8%</u> |

Real estate expenses as a percentage of revenue were 35.2% and 32.7% for the 2009 and 2008 Quarters, respectively.

Property Operating Expenses: Property operating expenses include utilities, repairs and maintenance, property administration and management, operating services, common area maintenance and other operating expenses.

Property operating expenses increased \$3.1 million in the 2009 Quarter as compared to the 2008 Quarter due primarily to non-core properties (\$1.8 million), combined with a \$1.3 million increase in property operating expenses from core properties driven by higher utilities costs (\$0.6 million), common area maintenance costs (\$0.4 million) and bad debt write-offs (\$0.2 million).

Real Estate Taxes: Real estate taxes increased \$1.6 million in the 2009 Quarter as compared to the 2008 Quarter due to the non-core properties (\$1.0 million), combined with a (\$0.6 million) increase in real estate taxes from core properties due primarily to higher rates and assessments across the portfolio.

Table of Contents

Other Operating Expenses

Other operating expenses are summarized as follows (all data in thousands except percentage amounts):

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | Change | |
| | | | \$ | % |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$23,275 | \$20,333 | \$2,942 | 14.5% |
| Interest expense | 19,681 | 18,900 | 781 | 4.1% |
| General and administrative | 3,182 | 3,023 | 159 | 5.3% |
| | <u>\$46,138</u> | <u>\$42,256</u> | <u>\$3,882</u> | <u>9.2%</u> |

Depreciation and Amortization: Depreciation and amortization expense increased by \$2.9 million in the 2009 Quarter as compared to the 2008 Quarter due primarily to properties acquired or placed into service during 2008 of \$340.3 million.

Interest Expense: Interest expense increased \$0.8 million in the 2009 Quarter compared to the 2008 Quarter, reflecting a \$0.6 million decrease in capitalized interest due to placing development projects into service at the end of 2007 and during 2008. Also, mortgage interest increased by \$3.1 million due to entering into a new mortgage note during the 2009 Quarter and three new mortgage notes during the second quarter of 2008, as well as assuming a mortgage as part of the 2445 M Street acquisition in the fourth quarter of 2008. These increases were offset by lower interest on the unsecured line of credit (\$2.3 million) due to paydowns during 2008 and lower interest on notes payable due to paydowns on the convertible notes during 2008 and the 2009 Quarter. The proceeds of the new mortgage notes were used to pay down floating rate credit facility debt and to repurchase a portion of the convertible notes.

A summary of interest expense by debt type for the 2009 and 2008 Quarters appears below (in millions, except percentage amounts):

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | | | |
|---|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | Change | |
| | | | \$ | % |
| Notes payable | \$12.7 | \$13.3 | \$(0.6) | (4.5)% |
| Mortgages | 6.9 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 81.6% |
| Lines of credit/short-term note payable | 0.4 | 2.7 | (2.3) | (85.2)% |
| Capitalized interest | (0.3) | (0.9) | 0.6 | 66.7% |
| Total | <u>\$19.7</u> | <u>\$18.9</u> | <u>\$ 0.8</u> | <u>4.2%</u> |

General and administrative expense: General and administrative expense was relatively flat, increasing by \$0.2 million in the 2009 Quarter as compared to the 2008 Quarter.

Discontinued Operations

We dispose of assets (sometimes using tax-deferred exchanges) that are inconsistent with our long-term strategic or return objectives and where market conditions for sale are favorable. The proceeds from the sales are reinvested into other properties, used to fund development operations, used to otherwise support corporate needs or are distributed to our shareholders.

We classified Charleston Business Center, an industrial property, and Avondale, a multifamily property, as held for sale in March, 2009 and September, 2008, respectively. We classify properties as held for sale when they meet the criteria specified in SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*. Senior management has committed to, and actively embarked upon, a plan to sell the assets, and the sales are expected to be completed within one year under terms usual and customary for such sales, with no indication that the plans will be significantly altered or abandoned. Depreciation on these properties was discontinued at the time they were classified as held for sale, but operating revenues and other operating expenses continue to be recognized until the dates of sale. Under SFAS No. 144, revenues and expenses of properties that are classified as held for sale or sold are treated as discontinued operations for all periods presented in the consolidated statements of income.

Table of Contents

We sold two industrial properties in 2008. Sullyfied Center and the Earhart Building were classified as held for sale in November 2007 and sold in June 2008. They were sold for a contract sales price of \$41.1 million, and we recognized a gain on sale of \$15.3 million in accordance with SFAS No. 66, *Accounting for Sales of Real Estate*.

Operating results of the properties classified as discontinued operations are summarized as follows (in thousands, except for percentages):

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | Change | |
| | | | \$ | % |
| Revenues | \$ 928 | \$2,030 | \$(1,102) | (54.3)% |
| Property expenses | (484) | (705) | 221 | 31.3% |
| Depreciation and amortization | (27) | (193) | 166 | 86.0% |
| Total | <u>\$ 417</u> | <u>\$1,132</u> | <u>\$ (715)</u> | <u>63.2%</u> |

Income from operations of properties sold or held for sale decreased to \$0.4 million in the 2009 Quarter from \$1.1 million in the 2008 Quarter due to the sale of Sullyfield Center and the Earhart Building in June 2008.

Net Operating Income

NOI, defined as real estate rental revenue less real estate expenses excluding depreciation and amortization and general and administrative expenses, is the primary performance measure we use to assess the results of our operations at the property level. We provide NOI as a supplement to net income calculated in accordance with GAAP. NOI does not represent net income calculated in accordance with GAAP. As such, it should not be considered an alternative to net income as an indication of our operating performance. NOI is calculated as net income, less non-real estate ("other") revenue and the results of discontinued operations (including the gain on sale, if any), plus interest expense, depreciation and amortization and general and administrative expenses. A reconciliation of NOI to net income follows.

Table of Contents

2009 Quarter Compared to 2008 Quarter

The following tables of selected operating data provide the basis for our discussion of NOI in the 2009 Quarter compared to the 2008 Quarter. All amounts are in thousands except percentage amounts.

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------|-----------|----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Real Estate Rental Revenue | | | | |
| Core | \$ 68,749 | \$ 68,843 | \$ (94) | (0.1)% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 9,111 | 503 | 8,608 | — |
| Total real estate rental revenue | \$ 77,860 | \$ 69,346 | \$ 8,514 | 12.3% |
| Real Estate Expenses | | | | |
| Core | \$ 23,831 | \$ 21,885 | \$ 1,946 | 8.9% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 3,573 | 793 | 2,780 | 350.6% |
| Total real estate expenses | \$ 27,404 | \$ 22,678 | \$ 4,726 | 20.8% |
| NOI | | | | |
| Core | \$ 44,918 | \$ 46,958 | \$(2,040) | (4.3)% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 5,538 | (290) | 5,828 | — |
| Total NOI | \$ 50,456 | \$ 46,668 | \$ 3,788 | 8.1% |
| Reconciliation to Net Income | | | | |
| NOI | \$ 50,456 | \$ 46,668 | | |
| Other income | 320 | 238 | | |
| Interest expense | (19,681) | (18,900) | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | (23,275) | (20,333) | | |
| General and administrative expenses | (3,182) | (3,023) | | |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | 5,845 | (8,449) | | |
| Discontinued operations ⁽²⁾ | 417 | 1,132 | | |
| Net income | 10,900 | (2,667) | | |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (49) | (57) | | |
| Net income attributable to the controlling interest | \$ 10,851 | \$ (2,724) | | |

| <u>Economic Occupancy</u> | Quarters Ended March 31, | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Core | 93.1% | 95.4% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 87.1% | 21.6% |
| Total | 92.4% | 93.1% |

⁽¹⁾ Non-core properties include:

2008 in development – Clayborne Apartments and Dulles Station, Phase I

2007 in development – Bennett Park

2008 acquisitions – 6100 Columbia Park Road, Sterling Medical Office Building, Kenmore Apartments and 2445 M Street

⁽²⁾ Discontinued operations include gain on disposals and income from operations for:

2008 disposals – Sullyfield Center and The Earhart Building

2008 held for sale – Avondale

Real estate rental revenue in the 2009 Quarter increased by \$8.5 million as compared to the 2008 Quarter due to the acquisition or placing into service of two office properties, one medical office property, three multifamily properties and one industrial property, which added approximately 2.3 million square feet of net rentable space. These

[Table of Contents](#)

acquisition and development properties contributed \$8.6 million of the increase. Real estate rental revenue from the core properties decreased by \$0.1 million primarily due to lower core occupancy (\$1.6 million) and higher bad debt expense (\$0.7 million), offset by higher rental rates (\$1.5 million) and recoveries from tenants (\$0.9 million).

Real estate expenses increased by \$4.7 million in the 2009 Quarter as compared to the 2008 Quarter due primarily to acquisition and development properties, which contributed \$2.8 million of the increase. Real estate expenses from core properties increased by \$1.9 million due primarily to higher real estate taxes (\$0.6 million), higher utilities costs (\$0.6 million), common area maintenance costs (\$0.4 million) and bad debt write-offs (\$0.2 million).

Core economic occupancy decreased to 93.1% in the 2009 Quarter from 95.4% in the 2008 Quarter due to lower core economic occupancy in all segments. Non-core economic occupancy increased to 87.1% in the 2009 Quarter from 21.6% in the 2008 Quarter, driven by the lease-up of our development properties in the multifamily segment. During the 2009 Quarter, 76.0% of the commercial square footage expiring was renewed as compared to 59.9% in the 2008 Quarter. During the 2009 Quarter, 235,000 commercial square feet were leased at an average rental rate of \$17.59 per square foot, an increase of 11.1%, with average tenant improvements and leasing costs of \$8.87 per square foot.

An analysis of NOI by segment follows.

Table of Contents

Office Segment:

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Real Estate Rental Revenue | | | | |
| Core | \$28,967 | \$29,701 | \$ (734) | (2.5)% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 5,443 | — | 5,443 | — |
| Total real estate rental revenue | \$34,410 | \$29,701 | \$ 4,709 | 15.9% |
| Real Estate Expenses | | | | |
| Core | \$10,848 | \$10,396 | \$ 452 | 4.3% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 1,872 | 149 | 1,723 | — |
| Total real estate expenses | \$12,720 | \$10,545 | \$ 2,175 | 20.6% |
| NOI | | | | |
| Core | \$18,119 | \$19,305 | \$(1,186) | (6.1)% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 3,571 | (149) | 3,720 | — |
| Total NOI | \$21,690 | \$19,156 | \$ 2,534 | 13.2% |

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Economic Occupancy | | |
| Core | 92.2% | 95.5% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 95.3% | — |
| Total | 92.6% | 95.5% |

⁽¹⁾ Non-core properties include:

In development – Dulles Station, Phase I
2008 acquisition – 2445 M Street

Real estate rental revenue in the office segment increased by \$4.7 million in the 2009 Quarter as compared to the 2008 Quarter due to acquisition and development properties, which contributed \$5.4 million. Real estate rental revenue from core properties decreased by \$0.7 million primarily due to lower core occupancy (\$0.9 million) and higher bad debt (\$0.7 million), partially offset by higher rental rates (\$0.8 million).

Real estate expenses in the office segment increased by \$2.2 million in 2009 Quarter as compared to the 2008 Quarter due primarily to acquisition and development properties, which contributed \$1.7 million of the increase. Real estate expenses from core properties increased by \$0.5 million primarily due to higher real estate taxes (\$0.2 million) caused by higher rates and assessments, as well as higher utilities expense (\$0.3 million).

Core economic occupancy decreased to 92.2% in 2009 Quarter from 95.5% in the 2008 Quarter, driven by higher vacancy at One Central Plaza, 600 Jefferson Plaza and 1220 19th Street. These were partially offset by higher economic occupancy at West Gude Drive and 6565 Arlington Boulevard. Non-core economic occupancy of 95.3% reflects the lease-up of Dulles Station, Phase I and the 2008 acquisition of the fully occupied 2445 M Street. During the 2009 Quarter, 83.3% of the square footage that expired was renewed compared to 12.8% in the 2008 Quarter. During the 2009 Quarter, we executed new leases for 63,200 square feet of office space at an average rental rate of \$31.84 per square foot, an increase of 13.4% over expiring leases, with average tenant improvements and leasing costs of \$28.39 per square foot.

Table of Contents

Medical Office Segment:

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Real Estate Rental Revenue | | | | |
| Core | \$11,327 | \$10,734 | \$ 593 | 5.5% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 159 | — | 159 | — |
| Total real estate rental revenue | \$11,486 | \$10,734 | \$ 752 | 7.0% |
| Real Estate Expenses | | | | |
| Core | \$ 3,842 | \$ 3,510 | \$ 332 | 9.5% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 91 | — | 91 | — |
| Total real estate expenses | \$ 3,933 | \$ 3,510 | \$ 423 | 12.1% |
| NOI | | | | |
| Core | \$ 7,485 | \$ 7,224 | \$ 261 | 3.6% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 68 | — | 68 | — |
| Total NOI | \$ 7,553 | \$ 7,224 | \$ 329 | 4.6% |

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Economic Occupancy | | |
| Core | 97.1% | 97.9% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 71.7% | — |
| Total | 96.5% | 97.9% |

⁽¹⁾ Non-core properties include:

2008 acquisition – Sterling Medical Office Building

Real estate rental revenue in the medical office segment increased by \$0.8 million in the 2009 Quarter as compared to the 2008 Quarter due primarily to due to higher rental rates (\$0.3 million), reimbursements (\$0.2 million) and lower bad debt expense (\$0.1 million). The acquired property contributed \$0.2 million to the increase.

Real estate expenses in the medical office segment increased by \$0.4 million in the 2009 Quarter as compared to the 2008 Quarter due primarily to write-offs of tenant receivables (\$0.1 million), higher utilities costs (\$0.1 million) and higher real estate taxes (\$0.1 million) caused by higher rates and assessments. The acquired property contributed \$0.1 million to the increase.

Core economic occupancy decreased to 97.1% in the 2009 Quarter from 97.9% in the 2008 Quarter, driven by higher vacancy at 8301 Arlington Boulevard and Alexandria Professional Center. Non-core economic occupancy reflects the vacant space at Sterling Medical Office Building. The sellers of Sterling Medical Office Building are reimbursing us for its vacant space for a period of 12 – 18 months from the acquisition date. During the 2009 Quarter, 58.8% of the square footage that expired was renewed compared to 81.7% in the 2008 Quarter. During the 2009 Quarter, we executed new leases for 10,700 square feet of medical office space at an average rental rate of \$35.08, an increase of 9.8% over expiring leases, with average tenant improvements and leasing costs of \$10.17 per square foot.

[Table of Contents](#)

Retail Segment:

| | <u>Quarters Ended March 31,</u> | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>\$ Change</u> | <u>% Change</u> |
| Real Estate Rental Revenue | | | | |
| Total | \$10,579 | \$10,646 | \$ (67) | (0.6%) |
| Real Estate Expenses | | | | |
| Total | \$ 2,873 | \$ 2,272 | \$ (601) | (26.5%) |
| NOI | | | | |
| Total | \$ 7,706 | \$ 8,374 | \$ (668) | (8.0%) |

| <u>Economic Occupancy</u> | <u>Quarters Ended March 31,</u> | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> |
| Core | 95.2% | 95.3% |

Real estate rental revenue in the retail segment decreased by \$0.1 million in the 2009 Quarter as compared to the 2008 Quarter due to lower percentage rent (\$0.3 million) and higher bad debt (\$0.2 million), partially offset by higher rental rates (\$0.2 million) and reimbursements (\$0.2 million).

Real estate expenses in the retail segment increased by \$0.6 million in the 2009 Quarter as compared to the 2008 Quarter due to higher legal costs (\$0.2 million), common area maintenance (\$0.2 million) and real estate taxes (\$0.1 million).

Economic occupancy slightly decreased to 95.2% in the 2009 Quarter from 95.3% in the 2008 Quarter, due to higher vacancy at Montrose Shopping Center offset by lower vacancy at Foxchase Shopping Center. During the 2009 Quarter, 61.0% of the square footage that expired was renewed compared to 89.6% in the 2008 Quarter. During the 2009 Quarter, we executed new leases for 21,200 square feet of retail space at an average rental rate of \$17.52, a decrease of 5.2% from expiring leases, with average tenant improvements and leasing costs of \$3.74 per square foot.

Table of Contents

Multifamily Segment:

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|-----------|----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Real Estate Rental Revenue | | | | |
| Core | \$ 8,064 | \$7,753 | \$ 311 | 4.0% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 3,105 | 379 | 2,726 | 719.3% |
| Total real estate rental revenue | \$11,169 | \$8,132 | \$ 3,037 | 37.3% |
| Real Estate Expenses | | | | |
| Core | \$ 3,405 | \$3,234 | \$ 171 | 5.3% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 1,484 | 607 | 877 | 144.5% |
| Total real estate expenses | \$ 4,889 | \$3,841 | \$ 1,048 | 27.3% |
| NOI | | | | |
| Core | \$ 4,659 | \$4,519 | \$ 140 | 3.1% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 1,621 | (228) | 1,849 | 811.0% |
| Total NOI | \$ 6,280 | \$4,291 | \$ 1,989 | 46.4% |

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Economic Occupancy | | |
| Core | 91.6% | 92.8% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 77.3% | 18.0% |
| Total | 87.3% | 78.7% |

⁽¹⁾ Non-core properties include:

- 2008 in development – Clayborne Apartments
- 2007 in development – Bennett Park
- 2008 acquisition – Kenmore Apartments

Real estate rental revenue in the multifamily segment increased by \$3.0 million in the 2009 Quarter as compared to the 2008 Quarter due primarily to acquisition and development properties, which contributed \$2.7 million of the increase. Real estate rental revenue from core properties increased by \$0.3 million due to higher rental rates (\$0.2 million), reimbursements (\$0.1 million) and lower bad debt (\$0.1 million), partially offset by lower occupancy (\$0.1 million).

Real estate expenses in the multifamily segment increased by \$1.1 million in the 2009 Quarter as compared to the 2008 Quarter due primarily to acquisition and development properties, which contributed \$0.9 million of the increase. Real estate expenses from core properties increased by \$0.2 million primarily due to higher utilities costs (\$0.1 million) and higher real estate taxes (\$0.1 million).

Core economic occupancy decreased to 91.6% in 2008 from 92.8% in 2007, driven by lower occupancy at Munson Hill Towers and Walker House. Non-core economic occupancy increased to 77.3% from 18.0%, reflecting the lease-up of Bennett Park and Clayborne Apartments.

Table of Contents

Industrial Segment:

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Real Estate Rental Revenue | | | | |
| Core | \$ 9,812 | \$10,009 | \$ (197) | (2.0)% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 404 | 124 | 280 | 225.8% |
| Total real estate rental revenue | \$10,216 | \$10,133 | \$ 83 | 0.8% |
| Real Estate Expenses | | | | |
| Core | \$ 2,863 | \$ 2,473 | \$ 390 | 15.8% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 126 | 37 | 89 | 240.5% |
| Total real estate expenses | \$ 2,989 | \$ 2,510 | \$ 479 | 19.1% |
| NOI | | | | |
| Core | \$ 6,949 | \$ 7,536 | \$ (587) | (7.8)% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 278 | 87 | 191 | 219.5% |
| Total NOI | \$ 7,227 | \$ 7,623 | \$ (396) | (5.2)% |
| Economic Occupancy | | | | |
| Core | | | 2009 | 2008 |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | | | 90.3% | 94.7% |
| Total | | | 100.0% | 81.5% |
| | | | 90.6% | 94.5% |

⁽¹⁾ Non-core properties include:

2008 acquisition – 6100 Columbia Park Road

Real estate rental revenue in the industrial segment increased by \$0.1 million in the 2009 Quarter as compared to the 2008 Quarter due primarily to the acquisition property, which contributed \$0.3 million. Real estate rental revenue from core properties decreased by \$0.2 million primarily due to higher vacancy (\$0.4 million), partially offset by higher rental rates (\$0.1 million) and reimbursements (\$0.1 million).

Real estate expenses in the industrial segment increased by \$0.5 million in the 2009 Quarter as compared to the 2008 Quarter due primarily to higher common area maintenance (\$0.2 million), utilities costs (\$0.1 million) and real estate taxes (\$0.1 million). The acquisition property contributed \$0.1 million to the increase.

Core economic occupancy decreased to 90.3% in the 2009 Quarter from 94.7% in the 2008 Quarter, driven by higher vacancy at 8900 Telegraph Road, Ammendale Technology Park and NVIP I & II. These were partially offset by higher economic occupancy at 9950 Business Parkway. Non-core economic occupancy increased to 100.0% from 81.5% due to lower vacancy at 6100 Columbia Park Drive. During the 2009 Quarter, 75.4% of the square footage that expired was renewed compared to 58.3% in the 2008 Quarter. During the 2009 Quarter, we executed new leases for 139,800 square feet of industrial space at an average rental rate of \$9.82, an increase of 13.4% over expiring leases, with average tenant improvements and leasing costs of \$0.74 per square foot.

[Table of Contents](#)

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Capital Structure

We manage our capital structure to reflect a long-term investment approach, generally seeking to match the cash flow of our assets with a mix of equity and various debt instruments. We expect that our capital structure will allow us to obtain additional capital from diverse sources that could include additional equity offerings of common shares, public and private secured and unsecured debt financings, and possible asset dispositions. Our ability to raise funds through the sale of debt and equity securities is dependent on, among other things, general economic conditions, general market conditions for REITs, our operating performance, our debt rating and the current trading price of our common shares. We analyze which source of capital we believe to be most advantageous to us at any particular point in time. However, the capital markets may not consistently be available on terms that we consider attractive. In particular, as a result of the current economic downturn and turmoil in the capital markets, unsecured notes financings for REITs have currently become virtually unavailable and long-term credit has become significantly more costly. We cannot predict how long these conditions will continue.

We currently expect that our potential sources of liquidity for acquisitions, development, expansion and renovation of properties, and operating and administrative expenses, will include:

- Cash flow from operations;
- Borrowings under our unsecured credit facilities or other short-term facilities;
- Issuances of our equity securities and/or common units in our operating partnership;
- Proceeds from long-term secured or unsecured debt financings;
- Investment from joint venture partners; and
- Net proceeds from the sale of assets.

During 2009, we expect that we will have modest capital requirements, including the following items. There can be no assurance that our capital requirements will not be materially higher or lower than these expectations.

- Funding dividends on our common shares and minority interest distributions to third party unit holders;
- Approximately \$30.0 - \$40.0 million to invest in our existing portfolio of operating assets, including approximately \$15.0 - \$20.0 million to fund tenant-related capital requirements and leasing commissions;
- Approximately \$15.0 million to fund first generation tenant-related capital requirements and leasing commissions;
- Approximately \$2.5 million to invest in our development projects; and
- Approximately \$19.5 - \$50.0 million to fund our expected property acquisitions.

We believe that we will generate sufficient cash flow from operations and have access to the capital resources necessary to fund our requirements. However, as a result of general market conditions in the greater Washington metro region, economic downturns affecting the ability to attract and retain tenants, unfavorable fluctuations in interest rates or our share price, unfavorable changes in the supply of competing properties, or our properties not performing as expected, we may not generate sufficient cash flow from operations or otherwise have access to capital on favorable terms, or at all. If we are unable to obtain capital from other sources, we may not be able to pay the dividend required to maintain our status as a REIT, make required principal and interest payments, make strategic acquisitions or make necessary routine capital improvements or undertake re-development opportunities with respect to our existing portfolio of operating assets. In addition, if a property is mortgaged to secure payment of indebtedness and we are unable to meet mortgage payments, the holder of the mortgage could foreclose on the property, resulting in loss of income and asset value.

Table of Contents

Debt Financing

We generally use secured or unsecured, corporate-level debt, including mortgages, unsecured notes and our unsecured credit facilities, to meet our borrowing needs. Long-term, we generally use fixed rate debt instruments in order to match the returns from our real estate assets. We also utilize variable rate debt for short-term financing purposes. At times, our mix of variable and fixed rate debt may not suit our needs. At those times, we may use derivative financial instruments including interest rate swaps and caps, forward interest rate options or interest rate options in order to assist us in managing our debt mix. We may either hedge our variable rate debt to give it an effective fixed interest rate or hedge fixed rate debt to give it an effective variable interest rate.

Typically we have obtained the ratings of two credit rating agencies in the underwriting of our unsecured debt. As of March 31, 2009, Standard & Poor's had assigned its BBB+ rating with a stable outlook, and Moody's Investor Service has assigned its Baa1 rating with a stable outlook, to our unsecured debt offerings. A downgrade in rating by either of these rating agencies could result from, among other things, a change in our financial position. Any such downgrade could adversely affect our ability to obtain future financing or could increase the interest rates on our existing debt. However, we have no debt instruments under which the principal maturity would be accelerated upon a downward change in our debt rating. A rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold securities, and each rating is subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the assigning rating organization.

Our total debt at March 31, 2009 is summarized as follows (in thousands):

| | <u>Total Debt</u> |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| Fixed rate mortgages | \$ 458,084 |
| Unsecured credit facilities | 48,000 |
| Unsecured notes payable | 845,364 |
| | <u>\$ 1,351,448</u> |

If principal amounts due at maturity cannot be refinanced, extended or paid with proceeds of other capital transactions, such as new equity capital, our cash flow may be insufficient to repay all maturing debt. Prevailing interest rates or other factors at the time of a refinancing, such as possible reluctance of lenders to make commercial real estate loans, may result in higher interest rates and increased interest expense.

Mortgage Debt

At March 31, 2009, our \$458.1 million in fixed rate mortgages, which includes a net \$7.9 million in unamortized discounts due to fair value adjustments, bore an effective weighted average fair value interest rate of 6.0% and had a weighted average maturity of 5.7 years. We may either initiate secured mortgage debt or assume mortgage debt from time-to-time in conjunction with property acquisitions.

On February 17, 2009, we executed a mortgage note of \$37.5 million at a fixed rate of 5.37% for a term of ten years, supported by Kenmore Apartments. The proceeds from the note were used to pay down borrowings under our lines of credit and to repurchase a portion of our convertible notes.

Unsecured Credit Facilities

Our primary source of liquidity is our two revolving credit facilities. We can borrow up to \$337.0 million under these lines, which bear interest at an adjustable spread over LIBOR based on our public debt rating.

Credit Facility No. 1 is a four-year, \$75.0 million unsecured credit facility expiring in June 2011. We had \$5.4 million in letters of credit issued as of March 31, 2009, related to Credit Facility No. 1. Borrowings under the facility bear interest at our option of LIBOR plus a spread based on the credit rating on our publicly issued debt or the higher of SunTrust Bank's prime rate and the Federal Funds Rate in effect plus 0.5%. All outstanding advances are due and payable upon maturity in June 2011. Interest only payments are due and payable generally on a monthly basis. In addition, we pay a facility fee based on the credit rating of our publicly issued debt which currently equals 0.15% per annum of the \$75.0 million committed capacity, without regard to usage. Rates and fees may be adjusted up or down based on changes in our senior unsecured credit ratings.

Credit Facility No. 2 is a four-year \$262.0 million unsecured credit facility expiring in November 2010, with a one year extension option. We had \$48.0 million outstanding and \$0.9 million in letters of credit issued as of March 31, 2009, related to Credit Facility No. 2. Advances under this agreement bear interest at our option of LIBOR plus a

Table of Contents

spread based on the credit rating of our publicly issued debt or the higher of Wells Fargo Bank's prime rate and the Federal Funds Rate in effect on that day plus 0.5%. All outstanding advances are due and payable upon maturity in November 2010. Interest only payments are due and payable generally on a monthly basis. Credit Facility No. 2 requires us to pay the lender a facility fee on the total commitment of 0.15% per annum. These fees are payable quarterly.

Our unsecured credit facilities contain financial and other covenants with which we must comply. Some of these covenants include:

- A minimum tangible net worth;
- A maximum ratio of total liabilities to gross asset value, calculated using an estimate of fair market value of our assets;
- A maximum ratio of secured indebtedness to gross asset value, calculated using an estimate of fair market value of our assets;
- A minimum ratio of annual EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization) to fixed charges, including interest expense;
- A minimum ratio of unencumbered asset value, calculated using a fair value of our assets, to unsecured indebtedness;
- A minimum ratio of net operating income from our unencumbered properties to unsecured interest expense; and
- A maximum ratio of permitted investments to gross asset value, calculated using an estimate of fair market value of our assets.

Failure to comply with any of the covenants under our unsecured credit facilities or other debt instruments could result in a default under one or more of our debt instruments. This could cause our lenders to accelerate the timing of payments and would therefore have a material adverse effect on our business, operations, financial condition and liquidity.

As of March 31, 2009, we were in compliance with our loan covenants. However, our ability to draw on our unsecured credit facilities or incur other unsecured debt in the future could be restricted by the loan covenants.

We anticipate that in the near term we may rely to a greater extent upon our unsecured credit facilities and potentially maintain balances on our unsecured credit facilities for longer periods than has been our historical practice. To the extent that we maintain larger balances on our unsecured credit facilities or maintain balances on our unsecured credit facilities for longer periods, adverse fluctuations in interest rates could have a material adverse effect on earnings.

Unsecured Notes

We generally issue senior unsecured notes to fund our real estate assets long-term. We intend to ladder the maturities of our debt to mitigate exposure to interest rate risk in future years.

Depending upon market conditions, opportunities to issue unsecured notes on attractive terms may not be available. In particular, as noted above, current access to unsecured notes financings for REITs has become virtually unavailable as a result of the current economic downturn and turmoil in the credit markets. Accordingly, as noted above we anticipate that in the near term we may rely to a greater extent upon our unsecured credit facilities and potentially maintain balances on our unsecured credit facilities for longer periods than has been our historical practice. To the extent that we maintain larger balances on our unsecured credit facilities or maintain balances on our unsecured credit facilities for longer periods, adverse fluctuations in interest rates could have a material adverse effect on earnings.

Table of Contents

Our unsecured fixed-rate notes payable have maturities ranging from February 2010 through February 2028, as follows (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2009 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | <u>Note Principal</u> |
| 4.45% notes due 2010 | \$ 100,000 |
| 5.95% notes due 2011 | 150,000 |
| 5.05% notes due 2012 | 50,000 |
| 5.125% notes due 2013 | 60,000 |
| 5.25% notes due 2014 | 100,000 |
| 5.35% notes due 2015 | 150,000 |
| 3.875% notes due 2026 ⁽¹⁾ | 195,424 |
| 7.25% notes due 2028 | 50,000 |
| | <u>\$ 855,424</u> |

⁽¹⁾ On or after September 20, 2011, we may redeem the convertible notes at a redemption price equal to the principal amount of the notes plus any accrued and unpaid interest, if any, up to, but excluding, the purchase date. In addition, on September 15, 2011, September 15, 2016 and September 15, 2021 or following the occurrence of certain change in control transactions prior to September 15, 2011, holders of these notes may require us to repurchase the notes for an amount equal to the principal amount of the notes plus any accrued and unpaid interest thereon.

Our unsecured notes contain covenants with which we must comply. These include:

- Limits on our total indebtedness;
- Limits on our secured indebtedness;
- Limits on our required debt service payments; and
- Maintenance of a minimum level of unencumbered assets.

Failure to comply with any of the covenants under our unsecured notes could result in a default under one or more of our debt instruments. This could cause our debt holders to accelerate the timing of payments and would therefore have a material adverse effect on our business, operations, financial condition and liquidity.

As of March 31, 2009, we were in compliance with our unsecured notes covenants.

During the 2009 Quarter, we repurchased \$48.6 million of our 3.875% convertible notes at discounts ranging from 16% to 20%. We may from time to time seek to repurchase and cancel our outstanding notes through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. Such repurchases, if any, will depend on prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors. The amounts involved may be material.

Subsequent to quarter end, we executed repurchases totaling \$28.9 million of face value of our 3.875% convertible notes at 84.0% to 91.875% of par.

On May 7, 2009, we entered into an agreement to modify our \$100 million unsecured term loan with Wells Fargo to extend the maturity date from February 19, 2010 to November 1, 2011. This agreement also increased the interest rate on the term loan from LIBOR plus 150 basis points to LIBOR plus 275 basis points. We previously had an interest rate swap agreement in place through the term loan's original maturity date of February 19, 2010. This interest rate swap effectively fixes the interest rate on the modified term loan at 5.70%. On May 6, 2009, we also entered into a forward interest rate swap agreement that will effectively fix the modified term loan's interest rate at 4.85% for the period from February 20, 2010 through the maturity date of November 1, 2011. The agreement to modify the term loan also allows us to prepay the loan for a fee equal to 1.00% of the prepayment on or before November 30, 2010, and for a fee equal to 0.25% of the prepayment after November 30, 2010 but on or before May 31, 2011. After May 31, 2011 there is no prepayment fee under the terms of the modification agreement. We paid a loan fee equal to 0.5% of the \$100 million principal amount in connection with the modification agreement.

Common Equity

We have authorized for issuance 100.0 million common shares, of which 53.0 million shares were outstanding at March 31, 2009.

During the third quarter of 2008, we entered into a sales agency financing agreement with BNY Mellon Capital Markets, LLC relating to the issuance and sale of up to \$150.0 million of our common shares from time to time over a period of no more than 36 months. Sales of our common shares are made at market prices prevailing at the time of sale. Net proceeds for the sale of common shares under this program are used for the repayment of borrowings under our lines of credit, acquisitions and general corporate purposes. During the 2009 Quarter, we issued 558,268 common shares at a weighted average price of \$26.47 under this program, raising \$14.6 million in net proceeds.

We have a dividend reinvestment program whereby shareholders may use their dividends and optional cash payments to purchase common shares. The common shares sold under this program may either be common shares issued by us or common shares purchased in the open market. No common shares were issued under this program during the 2009 and 2008 Quarters.

On May 5, 2009 we closed on an underwritten public offering of 5.25 million common shares at a price of \$21.40 per share. The underwriters have a 30-day option to purchase an additional 500,000 common shares. We estimate that the net proceeds from this offering, before offering expenses, will be approximately \$107.5 million, or approximately \$117.9 million if the underwriters' over-allotment option is exercised in full. The proceeds of this offering will be used to repay borrowings outstanding under WRIT's unsecured line of credit and for general corporate purposes.

Table of Contents

Dividends

We pay dividends quarterly. The maintenance of these dividends is subject to various factors, including the discretion of our Board of Trustees, the ability to pay dividends under Maryland law, the availability of cash to make the necessary dividend payments and the effect of REIT distribution requirements, which require at least 90% of our taxable income to be distributed to shareholders. The table below details our dividend and distribution payments for the 2009 and 2008 Quarters (in thousands).

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | Change | |
| | | | \$ | % |
| Common dividends | \$23,087 | \$19,756 | \$3,331 | 16.9% |
| Minority interest distributions | 49 | 47 | 2 | 4.3% |
| | <u>\$23,136</u> | <u>\$19,803</u> | <u>\$3,333</u> | <u>16.8%</u> |

Dividends paid for the 2009 Quarter as compared to the 2008 Quarter increased as a direct result of a dividend rate increase from \$0.4225 per share to \$0.4325 per share in June 2008. The dividends paid also increased due to our issuance of 4.325 million shares pursuant to public offerings during 2008 and our issuance of 1.7 million under our sales agency financing agreement during 2008 and the 2009 Quarter.

Historical Cash Flows

Consolidated cash flow information is summarized as follows (in millions):

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | Change | |
| | | | \$ | % |
| Cash provided by operating activities | \$ 34.0 | \$ 22.3 | \$ 11.7 | 52.5% |
| Cash used in investing activities | \$ (5.1) | \$ (24.0) | \$ 18.9 | 78.8% |
| Cash provided by financing activities | \$(31.1) | \$ (6.9) | \$(24.2) | (350.7)% |

Operations generated \$34.0 million of net cash in the 2009 Quarter compared to \$22.3 million in the 2008 Quarter. The increase in cash provided by operating activities was due primarily to properties acquired or placed into service during 2008.

Our investing activities used net cash of \$5.1 million in the 2009 Quarter and \$24.0 million in the 2008 Quarter. The decrease in cash used by investing activities was primarily due to the fact that there were no acquisitions during the 2009 Quarter, while there was an \$11.2 million acquisition in the 2008 Quarter. In addition, cash spent on our development projects decreased to \$0.6 million during the 2009 Quarter from \$9.3 million during the 2008 Quarter, as our three major development projects (Bennett Park, Clayborne Apartments and Dulles Station, Phase I) were completed and placed into service during 2007 and 2008.

Our financing activities used net cash of \$31.1 million in the 2009 Quarter and \$6.9 million in the 2008 Quarter. The increase in net cash used by financing activities during the 2009 Quarter was primarily due to the repurchase of a portion of the 3.875 convertible notes for \$39.9 million, net paydowns of the unsecured lines of credit of \$19.0 million and dividends of \$23.1 million, partially offset by \$37.5 million in proceeds from a new mortgage note.

Table of Contents

Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Debt Service Coverage

The following table sets forth our ratios of earnings to fixed charges and debt service coverage for the periods shown:

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Earnings to fixed charges | 1.5x | (a) |
| Debt service coverage | 2.7x | 1.8x |

(a) Due to our loss from continuing operations during the 2008 Quarter, the coverage ratio was less than 1:1. We required additional earnings of \$4,687,000 to achieve a coverage of 1:1. The loss in the 2008 Quarter included the impact of the loss on extinguishment of debt of \$8,449,000.

We computed the ratio of earnings to fixed charges by dividing earnings by fixed charges. For this purpose, earnings consist of income from continuing operations plus fixed charges, less capitalized interest. Fixed charges consist of interest expense, including amortized costs of debt issuance, and interest costs capitalized.

We computed the debt service coverage ratio by dividing EBITDA (which is earnings before interest income and expense, taxes, depreciation, amortization and gain on sale of real estate) by interest expense and principal amortization.

Both the earnings to fixed charges ratio and the debt service coverage ratio for the 2009 and 2008 Quarters include the impact of the gain (loss) on extinguishment of debt of \$5.8 million and (\$8.4 million) (see "Item 2: Consolidated Results of Operations.")

Funds From Operations

FFO is a widely used measure of operating performance for real estate companies. We provide FFO as a supplemental measure to net income calculated in accordance with GAAP. Although FFO is a widely used measure of operating performance for REITs, FFO does not represent net income calculated in accordance with GAAP. As such, it should not be considered an alternative to net income as an indication of our operating performance. In addition, FFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP, nor does it represent cash available to pay distributions and should not be considered as an alternative to cash flow from operating activities, determined in accordance with GAAP, as a measure of our liquidity. The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, Inc. ("NAREIT") defines FFO (April, 2002 White Paper) as net income (computed in accordance with GAAP) excluding gains (or losses) from sales of property plus real estate depreciation and amortization. We consider FFO to be a standard supplemental measure for REITs because it facilitates an understanding of the operating performance of our properties without giving effect to real estate depreciation and amortization, which historically assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate values have instead historically risen or fallen with market conditions, we believe that FFO more accurately provides investors an indication of our ability to incur and service debt, make capital expenditures and fund other needs. Our FFO may not be comparable to FFO reported by other REITs. These other REITs may not define the term in accordance with the current NAREIT definition or may interpret the current NAREIT definition differently.

The following table provides the calculation of our FFO and a reconciliation of FFO to net income for the years presented (in thousands):

| | Quarters Ended March 31, | |
|---|--------------------------|------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Net income attributable to the controlling interest | \$ 10,851 | \$ (2,724) |
| Adjustments | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 23,275 | 20,333 |
| Discontinued operations depreciation and amortization | 27 | 192 |
| FFO as defined by NAREIT | \$ 34,153 | \$ 17,801 |

[Table of Contents](#)

ITEM 3: QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The principal material financial market risk to which we are exposed is interest-rate risk. Our exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to refinancing long-term fixed rate obligations, the opportunity cost of fixed rate obligations in a falling interest rate environment and our variable rate lines of credit. We primarily enter into debt obligations to support general corporate purposes including acquisition of real estate properties, capital improvements and working capital needs.

In February 2008, we entered into an interest rate swap that qualifies as a cash flow hedge under SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*. We enter into interest rate swaps to manage our exposure to variable rate interest risk. We do not purchase derivatives for speculation. Our cash flow hedges are recorded at fair value. The effective portion of changes in fair value of cash flow hedges is recorded in other comprehensive income. The ineffective portion of changes in fair value of cash flow hedges is recorded in earnings in the period affected. We assess effectiveness of our cash flow hedges both at inception and on an ongoing basis.

As the majority of our outstanding debt is long-term, fixed rate debt, our interest rate risk has not changed significantly from what was disclosed in our 2008 Form 10-K. See “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Liquidity and Capital Resources – Debt Financing.”

ITEM 4: CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Securities Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President of Accounting, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President of Accounting, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by the report. Based on the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President of Accounting concluded that WRIT’s disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

There have been no changes in WRIT’s internal control over financial reporting (as defined by Rule 13a-15(f)) that occurred during the period covered by the report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, WRIT’s internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II
OTHER INFORMATION**

ITEM 1: LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

None.

ITEM 1A: RISK FACTORS

None.

ITEM 2: UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

ITEM 3: DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4: SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

ITEM 5: OTHER INFORMATION

On May 7, 2009, we entered into an agreement to modify our \$100 million unsecured term loan with Wells Fargo to extend the maturity date from February 19, 2010 to November 1, 2011. This agreement also increased the interest rate on the term loan from LIBOR plus 150 basis points to LIBOR plus 275 basis points. We previously had an interest rate swap agreement in place through the term loan's original maturity date of February 19, 2010. This interest rate swap effectively fixes the interest rate on the modified term loan at 5.70%. On May 6, 2009, we also entered into a forward interest rate swap agreement that will effectively fix the modified term loan's interest rate at 4.85% for the period from February 20, 2010 through the maturity date of November 1, 2011. The agreement to modify the term loan also allows us to prepay the loan for a fee equal to 1.00% of the prepayment on or before November 30, 2010, and for a fee equal to 0.25% of the prepayment after November 30, 2010 but on or before May 31, 2011. After May 31, 2011 there is no prepayment fee under the terms of the modification agreement. We paid a loan fee equal to 0.5% of the \$100 million principal amount in connection with the modification agreement.

ITEM 6: EXHIBITS

- (a) Exhibits
 - 10. Management Contracts, Plans and Arrangements
 - (nn) Amended Short Term Incentive Plan, effective January 1, 2009
 - (oo) Amended Long Term Incentive Plan, effective January 1, 2009
 - 12. Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges
 - 31. Rule 13a-14(a)/15(d)-14(a) Certifications
 - (a) Certification – Chief Executive Officer
 - (b) Certification – Executive Vice President – Accounting and Administration
 - (c) Certification – Chief Financial Officer
 - 32. Section 1350 Certifications
 - (a) Written Statement of Chief Executive Officer and Financial Officers

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST

/s/ George F. McKenzie

George F. McKenzie
President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Laura M. Franklin

Laura M. Franklin
Executive Vice President
Accounting, Administration and Corporate Secretary

/s/ William T. Camp

William T. Camp
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

DATE: May 8, 2009

**WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST
SHORT-TERM INCENTIVE PLAN
(Effective January 1, 2009)**

Description of Plan Operation

Background: This Short-Term Incentive Plan (“STIP”) replaces the STIP that was in effect for calendar years 2006—2008, which is described in the document titled “Washington Real Estate Investment Trust Short-term Incentive Plan (Effective January 1, 2006).”

Overview: This STIP pays annual cash bonuses to Officers (other than the Managing Director – Leasing) based on the following three calendar year performance measures:

- WRIT’s actual financial performance measuring funds from operations (FFO) per share against target FFO per share;
- WRIT’s actual financial performance measuring funds available for distribution (FAD) per share against target FAD per share; and
- the Participant’s actual individual performance measuring such performance against target quantitative and qualitative measures set for such Participant.

The target FFO per share and FAD per share for financial performance, and target quantitative and qualitative measures for individual performance, are determined as provided in this STIP.

Plan Operation: Set forth below is a description of the operation of this STIP.

1. **Eligibility:** Officers (other than the Managing Director – Leasing) who are employed at the commencement of the performance year (January 1) are eligible to participate in the STIP and receive a short-term incentive award (STI award).

An Officer hired after January 1 will not participate, unless the Officer’s offer letter specifies that the Officer will be eligible for an STI award in his or her first year of employment. In this case, the STI award will be pro-rated based on months of employment in the year during which the Participant is employed by WRIT for more than 50% of the days in the month (i.e., 15 or more days in February and 16 or more days in all other months).

2. **Treatment of Officers who are Promoted During the Year:** An employee who is promoted to Officer during the year will receive a pro-rated STI award for each portion of the year during which he or she is employed as an Officer, based on months of employment as an Officer relative to months in the year. For this purpose, a month will be treated as a month of employment as an Officer only if the Officer serves as such for more than 50% of the days in that month (i.e., 15 or more days in February and 16 or more days in all other months).

3. Performance Measure Determination: The target financial performance measures will be initially determined, and ultimately measured against, in the following manner:

- On or about November of the year preceding the performance year (e.g., November 2009 for the 2010 performance year), the CEO will draft and submit to the Compensation Committee a discussion of the expected market conditions, key indicators and underlying budget assumptions for the coming year, and recommended target FFO per share and target FAD per share. The Compensation Committee will review such recommendations and determine the target FFO per share and target FAD per share for the upcoming performance year at the November meeting (or at such later time as may be determined by the Compensation Committee, taking into account applicable legal and tax considerations).
- On or about November of the performance year the CEO will draft and submit to the Compensation Committee a memorandum discussing any actual market conditions relative to expected conditions.
- The Compensation Committee will assess the market changes and decide whether to adjust the performance outcome for incentive purposes.
- Only dramatic improvements or declines in market conditions will result in an adjustment to the performance outcomes. Any adjustments will in all cases be 5% or less (added or subtracted to the performance outcome versus goal percentage) and will be applied at the discretion of the Compensation Committee.

The target individual performance measures will be initially determined, and ultimately measured against, in the following manner:

- On or about November of the year preceding the performance year, each Participant will submit proposed target quantitative and qualitative measures to his or her STIP supervisor. The STIP supervisor is determined as follows:
 - For the CEO, the STIP supervisor is the Compensation Committee
 - For all other Participants, the STIP supervisor is the CEO or other Officer to whom such Participant directly reports

The STIP supervisor will review such proposed target quantitative and qualitative measures and then determine the final target quantitative and qualitative measures to be utilized for the performance year. While the STIP supervisor will review the proposed target quantitative and qualitative measures submitted by the Participant, the STIP supervisor will determine the final target quantitative and qualitative measures to be utilized for the

performance year in his, hers or its sole discretion (subject to the requirement that, if the STIP supervisor is an Officer other than the CEO, any target quantitative and qualitative measures must also be reviewed and approved by the CEO). Each STIP supervisor will approve target quantitative and qualitative measures for the upcoming performance year by the following deadlines: (i) for the Compensation Committee, at the November meeting (or at such later time as may be determined by the Compensation Committee, taking into account applicable legal and tax considerations), and (ii) for other STIP supervisors, by December 15 (or at such later time as may be determined by the CEO, taking into account applicable legal and tax considerations). If the Participant begins employment after January 1 and his or her offer letter specifies that he or she will be eligible for an STI award in such year, or an employee is promoted to Officer after January 1 of a year, then in each case the Participant's target goals and metrics will be determined by the STIP supervisor in the manner set forth above no later than one month after employment commences or the promotion has occurred. For each Participant other than the CEO, the CEO will notify the Compensation Committee of the target quantitative and qualitative measures after they are determined as set forth above.

- On or about November of the performance year the Participant may draft and submit to the applicable STIP supervisor a memorandum discussing the Participant's performance relative to his or her target quantitative and qualitative measures.
- The STIP supervisor will review any such memorandum and then determine, in his, her or its sole discretion, the level of actual performance of the Participant relative to target quantitative and qualitative measures (subject to the requirement that, if the STIP supervisor is an Officer other than the CEO, any determination of actual performance relative to target quantitative and qualitative measures must also be reviewed and approved by the CEO in his or her sole discretion). For each Participant other than the CEO, the CEO will notify the Compensation Committee of the level of actual performance of such Participant relative to target quantitative and qualitative measures after such actual performance is determined as set forth above.
- All target quantitative and qualitative measures will be expressed in performance levels ranging from 1 to 5 in order to coordinate with the applicable percentages in Appendix A.

4. Determination of STI Awards: STI payouts, as a percentage of base salary, for each level of achievement, are attached as Appendix A. For purposes of determining STI awards, the three performance measures will be weighted as follows

- 50% FFO per share
- 30% FAD per share

- 20% individual performance

Such weightings are reflected in the STI payout levels set forth in Appendix A.

Notwithstanding the STI payout levels set forth in the Appendix A, the Compensation Committee has discretion to adjust the payout upward or downward to recognize individual contributions.

5. Payment Dates, Form of Payment: Each Officer will receive 80% of his or her STI award in cash on or about December 15 of the performance year, based on 11 months of actual results and projected results for December of the performance year, unless the Officer makes a deferral election in which case Section 8 below will govern. Officers will receive the balance (based on the full 12 months of actual results) on or about February 15 after the end of the performance year.
6. Conditions for Payment: An Officer must be employed on December 31 to receive the February 15 payment, subject to exceptions for absences on authorized leave of one month or longer, and terminations on account of retirement, death, total and permanent disability, or layoff as a result of a reduction in force. In each of these cases STI awards will be pro-rated based on months of employment in the year. For this purpose, a month will be treated as a month of employment only if the Participant is employed as an Officer for more than 50% of the days in that month (i.e., 15 or more days in February and 16 or more days in all other months).
 - Retirement means termination of employment on or after age 65, or on or after age 55 with 20 years of continuous service
 - Total and permanent disability means a medical or physical impairment which can be expected to result in death and/or last for a continuous period of at least 12 months, as a result of which the Participant is receiving income replacement benefits for a period of at least three months from an accident or health plan covering WRIT employees.
7. Change in Control: The performance period will end on the date of a Change in Control. Each Participant who is employed by WRIT on the date of the Change in Control will receive a pro rata STI award based on months of employment through the end of the performance period. The amount of the STI award to be prorated will be based on prorated targets through the end of the performance period. For this purpose, a month will be treated as a month of employment only if the Officer is employed for more than 50% of the days in that month (i.e., 15 or more days in February and 16 or more days in all other months). If a Participant has terminated employment before the Change in Control on account of death, total and permanent disability, retirement, or a layoff on account of a reduction in force, or on account of the Change in Control, his or her STI award will be based on prorated targets through the end of the performance period and his or her months of employment relative to the months of employment he or she would have had he or she been employed on the date of the Change in Control.

-
- A termination that occurs after a Change in Control and is involuntary or for good reason (i.e., one of the following (i) a material diminution in base compensation; (ii) a material diminution in authority, duties or responsibilities; (iii) a material diminution in authority, duties, responsibilities of the supervisor to whom the Participant reports; (iv) a material change in the geographic location at which the Participant must perform the services; or (v) any other action or inaction that constitutes a material breach of an employment agreement between WRIT and the Participant (if any)) will be considered on account of a Change in Control. If a termination that is involuntary or for good reason occurs within 90 days before a Change of Control, it will be considered on account of a Change in Control, provided WRIT or its successor cannot demonstrate through a preponderance of evidence that the termination was not on account of the Change in Control.
 - The definition of Change in Control is the same as the definition in the Washington Real Estate Investment Trust 2007 Omnibus Long Term Incentive Plan.
8. Deferral Election: Each Officer may elect to defer up to 100% of the STI award amount payable on or about December 15 by making a deferral election under the WRIT Deferred Compensation Plan for Officers. Elections must be made by December 15 of the year prior to the performance year. The deferral must be for at least five years unless the final 409A regulations clearly indicate that the deferral may be made for fewer than five additional years. If a participant makes a deferral election his or her STI award will be converted to RSUs and held under the Deferred Compensation Plan until the end of the deferral period. Deferred amounts will be matched 25% in RSUs. (For details on the Deferred Compensation Plan, see separate summary entitled “WRIT Deferred Compensation Plan Summary.”)
 9. STIP Administration and Interpretation: The STIP will be administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Trustees of WRIT, which has the discretion and authority to interpret the STIP. The STIP will be interpreted in a manner consistent with ensuring that STI awards under the STIP are exempt from the application of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code as “short-term deferrals” within the meaning of the regulations issued under Section 409A.
 10. Amendment and Termination of the STIP: WRIT reserves the right to amend or terminate the STIP at any time, provided that no amendment will deprive a Participant of any vested right under the STIP.

-
11. Effective Date: The STIP is effective January 1, 2009. The provisions of the STIP, as described above, apply to STI awards made for performance years beginning January 1, 2009 and each anniversary thereof.

Appendix A

STIP Payout for as a Percentage of Salary

FFO per Share Payout Levels

Payouts start at 95% performance and end at 110% performance. Payouts for every 1% increase in performance are illustrated below. However, for performance that falls between whole percentage points, payouts will be interpolated.

| Performance vs. Target | Payout as % of Salary | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------|--------|-----------------------|
| | CEO | EVP | SVP | Managing Directors |
| <95% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| 95% | 25.00% | 18.75% | 16.25% | 12.50% |
| 96% | 30.00% | 22.50% | 19.50% | 15.00% |
| 97% | 35.00% | 26.25% | 22.75% | 17.50% |
| 98% | 40.00% | 30.00% | 26.00% | 20.00% |
| 99% | 45.00% | 33.75% | 29.25% | 22.50% |
| 100% | 50.00% | 37.50% | 32.50% | 25.00% |
| 101% | 55.00% | 41.25% | 35.75% | 27.50% |
| 102% | 60.00% | 45.00% | 39.00% | 30.00% |
| 103% | 65.00% | 48.75% | 42.25% | 32.50% |
| 104% | 70.00% | 52.50% | 45.50% | 35.00% |
| 105% | 75.00% | 56.25% | 48.75% | 37.50% |
| 106% | 80.00% | 60.00% | 52.00% | 40.00% |
| 107% | 85.00% | 63.75% | 55.25% | 42.50% |
| 108% | 90.00% | 67.50% | 58.50% | 45.00% |
| 109% | 95.00% | 71.25% | 61.75% | 47.50% |
| >=110% | 100.00% | 75.00% | 65.00% | 50.00% |

FAD per Share Payout Levels

Payouts start at 95% performance and end at 110% performance. Payouts for every 1% increase in performance are illustrated below. However, for performance that falls between whole percentage points, payouts will be interpolated.

| Performance vs. Target | Payout as % of Salary | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------|--------|-----------------------|
| | CEO | EVP | SVP | Managing Directors |
| <95% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| 95% | 15.00% | 11.25% | 9.75% | 7.50% |
| 96% | 18.00% | 13.50% | 11.70% | 9.00% |
| 97% | 21.00% | 15.75% | 13.65% | 10.50% |
| 98% | 24.00% | 18.00% | 15.60% | 12.00% |

| | | | | |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 99% | 27.00% | 20.25% | 17.55% | 13.50% |
| 100% | 30.00% | 22.50% | 19.50% | 15.00% |
| 101% | 33.00% | 24.75% | 21.45% | 16.50% |
| 102% | 36.00% | 27.00% | 23.40% | 18.00% |
| 103% | 39.00% | 29.25% | 25.35% | 19.50% |
| 104% | 42.00% | 31.50% | 27.30% | 21.00% |
| 105% | 45.00% | 33.75% | 29.25% | 22.50% |
| 106% | 48.00% | 36.00% | 31.20% | 24.00% |
| 107% | 51.00% | 38.25% | 33.15% | 25.50% |
| 108% | 54.00% | 40.50% | 35.10% | 27.00% |
| 109% | 57.00% | 42.75% | 37.05% | 28.50% |
| >=110% | 60.00% | 45.00% | 39.00% | 30.00% |

Individual Performance Measures

Payouts start at performance level 2 and end at performance level 5. Payouts for every performance level are illustrated below. However, for performance levels that fall between whole performance levels, payouts will be interpolated.

| Performance Level | Payout as % of Salary | | | |
|-------------------|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------------------|
| | CEO | EVP | SVP | Managing Directors |
| 1 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| 2 | 10.00% | 7.50% | 6.50% | 5.00% |
| 3 (Target) | 20.00% | 15.00% | 13.00% | 10.00% |
| 4 | 30.00% | 22.50% | 19.50% | 15.00% |
| 5 | 40.00% | 30.00% | 26.00% | 20.00% |

**WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST
LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PLAN
(Effective January 1, 2009)**

Description of Plan Operation

Background: This Long-Term Incentive Plan (“LTIP”) replaces the LTIP that was in effect for calendar years 2006—2008, which is described in the document titled “Washington Real Estate Investment Trust 2006 Long-term Incentive Plan (Effective January 1, 2006)” (the “Prior LTIP”). The Prior LTIP remains applicable with respect to all grants made thereunder through December 31, 2008, and therefore will remain effective with respect to such grants until the final vesting and payouts thereof.

Overview: Grants under the LTIP will be comprised of restricted share units (RSUs), with a five-year vesting period, and performance share units (PSUs), which cliff vest after three years. Officers will receive $\frac{1}{3}$ of their LTI grants in RSUs and $\frac{2}{3}$ in PSUs. RSUs and PSUs awarded, and WRIT shares issued, under the terms of the LTIP will be granted under WRIT’s 2007 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended and restated.

Plan Operation: Set forth below is a description of the operation of this LTIP.

1. **Eligibility.** Officers who are employed at the commencement of the performance period (January 1) are eligible to participate in the LTIP. In addition, an Officer hired after January 1 may participate if the Officer’s offer letter specifies that the Officer will be eligible for an LTIP award for a performance period that has already commenced.
2. **Determination of Grant for Officers.** The value of the total target award (RSUs + PSUs) is based on a percentage of salary established by the Compensation Committee and the Participant’s position at WRIT. Officers will receive $\frac{1}{3}$ of their LTI target awards in RSUs and $\frac{2}{3}$ in PSUs. PSU LTIP target awards will be comprised of two separate components:
 - PSUs based on funds from operations (FFO) per share, which comprise $\frac{2}{3}$ of the PSU LTIP target award (FFO PSUs).
 - PSUs based on total shareholder return (TSR), which comprise $\frac{1}{3}$ of the PSU LTIP target award (TSR PSUs).

The dollar values of target awards are established by multiplying the percentage of salary established by the Compensation Committee for each position, with respect to RSUs and PSUs, respectively, shown on Appendix A, by the Officer’s base salary. The number of RSUs and target number of FFO PSUs and TSR PSUs are determined as set forth below:

- The number of RSUs granted to each Officer is determined by dividing the dollar value of the target RSU award established for that Officer in Appendix A by the price of WRIT shares at the time of grant.

-
- The target number of FFO PSUs granted to each Officer is determined by dividing the dollar value of the target FFO PSU award established for that Officer in Appendix A by the price of WRIT shares at the time of grant, rounded to the nearest 100.
 - The target number of TSR PSUs granted to each Officer is determined by dividing the dollar value of the target TSR PSU award established for that Officer in Appendix A by the price of WRIT shares at the time of grant, rounded to the nearest 100.
3. Performance Measures for PSUs. For FFO PSUs, performance will be measured on annual FFO per share in the manner described below. For TSR PSUs, performance will be measured on TSR in the manner described below.

For FFO PSUs, targets are established for each year of the three-year performance period in advance of the applicable year. The target FFO per share performance goal will be determined in the following manner:

- On or about November of the year preceding the performance year (e.g., November 2009 for the 2010 performance year), the CEO will draft and submit to the Compensation Committee a discussion of the expected market conditions, key indicators and underlying budget assumptions for the coming year, and recommended target FFO per share. The Compensation Committee will review such recommendations and determine the target FFO per share for the upcoming performance year at the November meeting (or at such later time as may be determined by the Compensation Committee, taking into account applicable legal and tax considerations).

For TSR PSUs, TSR will be determined, and ultimately measured, based on the following:

- TSR will be defined as the quotient obtained by dividing –
 1. the sum of –
 - a. the difference between (1) ending Share Price and (2) beginning Share Price and
 - b. dividends paid during the performance period.
 - by*
 2. beginning Share Price

- Each Share Price will be calculated based on the average closing price for the twenty (20) trading days immediately prior to the relevant measurement date. For the beginning Share Price, the measurement date will be December 1 of the year prior to the first year in the three-year performance period. For the ending Share Price, the measurement date will be December 1 of the last year in the three-year performance period.
 - On or about November of the year preceding the three-year performance period (or at such later time as may be determined by the Compensation Committee, taking into account applicable legal and tax considerations), the Compensation Committee will approve a list of 25 peer group companies against whose TSR performance WRIT will be compared.
 - At the conclusion of the three-year performance period, the Compensation Committee will evaluate the TSR of WRIT against the 25 peer group companies and determine the percentile that reflects WRIT's performance measured against such peer group companies. If there are fewer than 25 peer companies at the end of the three-year performance period, WRIT's performance will be measured against the remaining peer companies.
 - The Compensation Committee will make reasonable and customary adjustments to WRIT or peer company performance to reflect corporate events such as stock splits and stock dividends.
4. Determination of Actual PSU Awards. PSU payouts, as a percentage of the target PSU payout, for each level of performance, are attached as Appendix B. PSU payout calculations will be made as follows:
- FFO PSU payout calculation: The FFO per share performance level will be separately measured for each year in the three-year performance period, resulting in a determination of the payout level, expressed as a percentage of target PSU award, for each such year based on Appendix B. Each of these three payout levels will then be averaged into a payout level that will apply to the FFO PSU payout for the three-year performance period.
 - TSR PSU payout calculation: The TSR performance level will be measured for the three-year performance period, resulting in a determination of the payout level for such performance period based on Appendix B. This payout level will apply to the TSR PSU payout for the three-year performance period.

Notwithstanding Appendix B, the Compensation Committee will have sole discretion to increase or decrease the payout levels on FFO PSUs (but not TSR PSUs) by amounts not to exceed 20%. This discretion of the Compensation Committee is intended to allow it to respond to unforeseen opportunities and challenges that arise during the performance period.

In addition, at the conclusion of each three-year performance period, the Compensation Committee will have sole discretion to award additional RSUs

("Special RSUs") to a Participant up to a maximum value equal to 10% of the total PSU target award for such Participant. Such Special RSUs will be awarded by the Compensation Committee if it determines that extraordinary market conditions have negatively impacted WRIT's performance for the three-year performance period. The Special RSUs awarded under this paragraph will vest one year after their grant, and will otherwise be identical to the other RSUs provided for in this LTIP.

5. Vesting of PSUs. PSUs cliff vest as of the last day of the three-year performance period (December 31). Non-vested PSUs are forfeited at termination of employment except in the case of total and permanent disability, death, retirement, layoff on account of a reduction in force, or Change in Control (as defined below).
6. Treatment of PSUs Upon Termination on Account of Death, Disability, Retirement, Layoff on account of a Reduction in Force Non-vested PSUs are not forfeited at termination of employment in the case of total and permanent disability, death, retirement, or layoff on account of a reduction in force. A pro rata portion of the PSUs granted to a Participant who has terminated employment for any of these reasons vests at the end of the performance period. The pro rata portion of PSUs that vests is determined by multiplying the number of PSUs to which the Participant is entitled at the end of the performance period by a fraction, the numerator of which is the number of the Participant's months of employment during the performance period and the denominator of which is 36. For this purpose, a month will be treated as a month of employment only if the employee is employed for more than 50% of the days in that month (i.e., 15 or more days in February and 16 or more days in all other months).
 - Retirement means termination of employment on or after age 65, or on or after age 55 with 20 years of continuous service
 - Total and permanent disability means a medical or physical impairment which can be expected to result in death and/or last for a continuous period of at least 12 months, as a result of which the Participant is receiving income replacement benefits for a period of at least three months from an accident or health plan covering WRIT employees.
7. Treatment of PSUs Upon Change in Control. The performance period will end on the date of a Change in Control. In the event of a Change in Control, each Participant who is employed by WRIT on the date of the Change in Control will receive an award of PSU's based on such performance period (whether or not such Participant terminates employment). The amount of the award will be determined by comparison of the targets for each year through the end of such performance period (with the targets prorated for any partial year) to the entire original targets for such PSU award. Although the performance period will end on the date of the Change in Control, the target amount of the award will not be reduced. If (1) a Participant has terminated employment during such performance period, but before the Change in Control, on account of death, total and permanent disability, retirement, or a layoff on account of a reduction in force, or (2) the termination is

involuntary or for good reason (i.e., one of the following (i) a material diminution in base compensation; (ii) a material diminution in authority, duties or responsibilities; (iii) a material diminution in authority, duties, responsibilities of the supervisor to whom the Participant reports; (iv) a material change in the geographic location at which the Participant must perform the services; or (v) any other action or inaction that constitutes a material breach of an employment agreement between WRIT and the Participant (if any)), and in either case occurs within 90 days before the Change in Control and WRIT or its successor cannot demonstrate through a preponderance of evidence that the termination was not on account of the Change in Control, the Participant's award will be based on targets through the end of such performance period (with the targets prorated for any partial year) as if he or she had been employed on the date of the Change in Control.

- Standards for determining whether a termination of employment is on account of a Change in Control shall be the same as in WRIT's 2007 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended and restated.

8. Form and Timing of Payment of PSUs. PSUs will be converted to common shares of beneficial interest in WRIT and delivered within 2 1/2 months of the end of the performance period.
9. Dividends on PSUs. An amount equal to the dividends granted on WRIT shares will be paid in cash at the end of the performance period based on PSUs awarded.
10. Vesting of RSUs. RSUs vest ratably over five years on the anniversary of the date of grant (except for Special RSUs, which vest over one year as described in Section 4). Non-vested RSUs are forfeited at termination of employment except in the case of total and permanent disability, death, retirement, layoff on account of a reduction in force, or a Change in Control. Vested RSUs are forfeited if a Participant is terminated for "cause".
 - "Cause" means any of the following: (1) commission by a Participant of a felony or crime of moral turpitude; (2) conduct by a Participant in the performance of his/her duties which is illegal, dishonest, fraudulent or disloyal; (3) the breach by a Participant of any fiduciary duty the Participant owes to the WRIT; or (4) gross neglect of duty or poor performance which is not cured by the Participant to the reasonable satisfaction of WRIT within 30 days of Participant's receipt of written notice from WRIT advising Participant of said gross neglect or poor performance.
11. Treatment of RSUs upon Termination on Account of Death, Disability, Retirement, or Layoff on account of a Reduction in Force, or Change in Control Non-vested RSUs fully vest at termination of employment in the case of (1) total and permanent disability, (2) death, (3) retirement, (4) layoff on account of a reduction in force, or (5) Change in Control.

-
- Retirement means termination of employment on or after age 65, or on or after age 55 with 20 years of continuous service
 - Total and permanent disability means a medical or physical impairment which can be expected to result in death or last for a continuous period of at least 12 months, as a result of which the Participant is receiving income replacement benefits for a period of at least three months from an accident or health plan covering WRIT employees.
 - A termination that is involuntary or for good reason will be considered on account of a Change in Control if it occurs (1) on or after the Change in Control or (2) within 90 days before the Change in Control (provided WRIT or its successor cannot demonstrate through a preponderance of evidence that the termination was not on account of the Change in Control).
12. Form and Timing of Payment of RSUs RSUs will be converted to common shares of beneficial interest in WRIT and delivered after: (1) vesting in full for individuals who are employed throughout the five year period; or (2) vesting prior to the end of the five-year period upon termination on account of total and permanent disability, death, retirement, layoff on account of a reduction in force, or Change in Control. In addition, RSUs that were vested at the time of any other termination of employment (other than a termination for “cause”) will be converted to common shares of beneficial interest in WRIT and distributed. Shares will be paid by the end of the next calendar quarter to all Participants who are entitled to distribution following vesting at the end of the five-year vesting period. Officers will receive their shares by the end of the next calendar quarter following termination on account of death, but will not receive their shares until the earlier of (1) the expiration of six months following any other termination of employment or (2) the Officer’s death.
 13. Dividends on RSUs. For vested and non-vested RSUs, an amount equal to the dividends granted on WRIT common shares is paid in cash at the same time dividends on WRIT common shares are paid.
 14. LTIP Administration and Interpretation. The LTIP will be administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Trustees of WRIT, which has the discretion and authority to interpret the Plan. The LTIP will be interpreted in a manner consistent with ensuring the treatment of the LTIP as two separate plans: (1) a plan pursuant to which RSUs are granted and vest over a five-year period that is operated in compliance with the requirements of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code and the regulations and other interpretive guidance issued by the Internal Revenue Service and the U.S. Department of the Treasury guidance under Section 409A (Section 409A regulations); and (2) a plan providing for the award of PSUs that vest as of the end of a three-year performance period and is operated to ensure that such PSUs are exempt from the application of Section 409A as “short-term deferrals” under the Section 409A regulations.

-
15. Amendment and Termination of the LTIP. WRIT reserves the right to amend or terminate the LTIP at any time, provided that no amendment will deprive a Participant of any vested right under the LTIP, nor result in the acceleration of any award payable under the LTIP if such acceleration would result in a violation of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code or the applicable Treasury Regulations under Section 409A. If the LTIP is terminated under circumstances with respect to which an acceleration of benefit payments is permitted under Treas. Reg. Section 1.409A-3(j)(4)(ix) or such other events and conditions which may be permitted in generally applicable guidelines published in the Internal Revenue Bulletin, then WRIT reserves any discretion to distribute benefits in accordance with the requirements of such regulations and/or such guidelines.
 16. Effective Date. The provisions of the LTIP, as described above, apply to grants of RSUs and PSUs for performance periods beginning on or after January 1, 2009.

Appendix A

LTI Target Award as a Percentage of Salary

Restricted Share Units

Dollar Value of Target RSU Award as a % of Salary

| <u>CEO</u> | <u>EVPs</u> | <u>SVPs</u> | <u>Managing Directors</u> |
|------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| 67% | 50% | 33% | 25% |

Performance Share Units

Dollar Value of Target PSU Award as a % of Salary
(and Dollar Value of Target FFO PSU and
TSR PSU components as % of Salary)

| <u>CEO</u> | <u>EVPs</u> | <u>SVPs</u> | <u>Managing Directors</u> |
|------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| 133% | 100% | 67% | 50% |

PSU components:

FFO PSU: 89.1%
TSR PSU: 43.9%

PSU components:

FFO PSU: 67%
TSR PSU: 33%

PSU components:

FFO PSU: 44.9%
TSR PSU: 22.1%

PSU components:

FFO PSU: 33.5%
TSR PSU: 16.5%

Appendix B

PSU Payouts as a Percentage of Target Award

For FFO PSUs

Payouts start above 90% performance and end at 110% performance, with a continuous payout slope of 0.67% increase in payout, as a percentage of the target FFO PSU award, for every 0.1% increase in performance.

Payouts for every 1% increase in performance are illustrated below. However, for performance that falls between whole percentage points, payouts will be interpolated.

Performance Share Units

| Performance vs. Target | Payout as a % of Target FFO PSU Award |
|---------------------------|---|
| <=90% | 0% |
| 91% | 6.7% |
| 92% | 13.4% |
| 93% | 20.1% |
| 94% | 26.8% |
| 95% | 33.5% |
| 96% | 40.2% |
| 97% | 46.9% |
| 98% | 53.6% |
| 99% | 60.3% |
| 100% | 67% |
| 101% | 73.7% |
| 102% | 80.4% |
| 103% | 87.1% |
| 104% | 93.8% |
| 105% | 100.5% |
| 106% | 107.2% |
| 107% | 113.9% |
| 108% | 120.6% |
| 109% | 127.3% |
| >=110% | 134% |

For TSR PSUs

Payouts start at the 10th – 19th decile and end at the 90th – 99th decile.

Payouts for every decile are illustrated below. For performance that falls between deciles, payouts will not be interpolated.

Performance Share Units

| Performance Percentile Ranking | Payout as a % of Target TSR PSU Award |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| 10 th – 19 th | 6.6% |
| 20 th – 29 th | 13.2% |
| 30 th – 39 th | 19.8% |
| 40 th – 49 th | 26.4% |
| 50 th – 59 th | 33% |
| 60 th – 69 th | 41.25% |
| 70 th – 79 th | 49.5% |
| 80 th – 89 th | 57.75% |
| 90 th – 99 th | 66% |

WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST
Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges
(In thousands)

| | <u>Q1 2009</u> | <u>Q1 2008</u> |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Income from continuing operations attributable to the controlling interest | \$10,434 | \$ (3,856) |
| Additions: | | |
| Fixed charges | | |
| Interest expense | 19,681 | 18,900 |
| Capitalized interest | 323 | 831 |
| | <u>20,004</u> | <u>19,731</u> |
| Deductions: | | |
| Capitalized interest | (323) | (831) |
| Adjusted earnings | <u>\$30,115</u> | <u>\$15,044</u> |
| Fixed Charges (from above) | \$20,004 | \$19,731 |
| Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges | 1.51 | (a) |

^(a) Due to our loss from continuing operations during the 2008 Quarter, the coverage ratio was less than 1:1. We required additional earnings of \$4,687,000 to achieve a coverage of 1:1. The loss in the 2008 Quarter included the impact of the loss on extinguishment of debt of \$8,449,000 (See *Consolidated Results of Operations* in Item 2).

CERTIFICATION

I, George F. McKenzie, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Washington Real Estate Investment Trust;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonable likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonable likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

DATE: May 8, 2009

/s/ George F. McKenzie
George F. McKenzie
Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION

I, Laura M. Franklin, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Washington Real Estate Investment Trust;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonable likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonable likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

DATE: May 8, 2009

/s/ Laura M. Franklin

Laura M. Franklin
Executive Vice President
Accounting, Administration and Corporate Secretary

CERTIFICATION

I, William T. Camp, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Washington Real Estate Investment Trust;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonable likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonable likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

DATE: May 8, 2009

/s/ William T. Camp

William T. Camp
Chief Financial Officer

WRITTEN STATEMENT OF
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

The undersigned, the President and Chief Executive Officer, the Executive Vice President Accounting, Administration and Corporate Secretary, and the Chief Financial Officer of Washington Real Estate Investment Trust (“WRIT”), each hereby certifies on the date hereof, that:

- (a) the quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009 filed on the date hereof with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Report”) fully complies with the requirements of Section 13 (a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (b) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of WRIT.

Dated: May 8, 2009

/s/ George F. McKenzie
George F. McKenzie
President & Chief Executive Officer

Dated: May 8, 2009

/s/ Laura M. Franklin
Laura M. Franklin
Executive Vice President
Accounting, Administration and Corporate Secretary

Dated: May 8, 2009

/s/ William T. Camp
William T. Camp
Chief Financial Officer